

# Absolute stability criteria with prescribed decay rate for finite-dimensional and delay systems

Pierre-Alexandre Bliman<sup>1</sup>

*I.N.R.I.A., Rocquencourt B.P. 105, 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex, France*

---

## Abstract

We provide here an extension of Popov criterion, permitting to check exponential stability with prescribed decay rate (otherwise called  $\alpha$ -stability) of nonlinear delay systems with sector-bounded nonlinearities. As for the celebrated result, the main hypothesis is expressed under a frequency form. For the delay-free case, the latter is equivalent to a linear matrix inequality, whose solution may be found by widespread algorithms.

*Key words:*  $\alpha$ -stability, absolute stability, Popov criterion, delay analysis, frequency domains, linear matrix inequality, Lyapunov function.

---

## 1 Introduction

Asymptotic stability of the controlled systems is usually not sufficient, one also requires a minimal prescribed decay rate. Results in this direction have been proposed for linear delay systems, see [4,11,15] and the references therein. Also, a result has been obtained for nonlinear delay systems [14]. In the present paper, we provide such a result for nonlinear finite-dimensional or delay systems with sector restricted nonlinearities.

We consider more precisely multivariable nonlinear control systems given by one of the following differential and functional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu, & x(0) = \phi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u = -\psi(y), & y = Cx, \end{cases} \quad (1a)$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \sum_{l=0}^L A_l x(t - h_l) + Bu, & x|_{[-h,0]} = \phi \in \mathcal{C}([-h,0]), \\ u = -\psi(y), & y = \sum_{l=0}^L C_l x(t - h_l), \end{cases} \quad (1b)$$

where  $n, p, L \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $A, A_l \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ ,  $C, C_l \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $0 \leq h_0 < \dots < h_L$ ,  $h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{h_l\}$ . One denotes by  $H$  the matrix transfer function corre-

sponding to the system under study, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} H(s) &= C(sI - A)^{-1}B, & (2a) \\ H(s) &= \left( \sum_{l=0}^L C_l e^{-h_l s} \right) \left( sI - \sum_{l=0}^L A_l e^{-h_l s} \right)^{-1} B. & (2b) \end{aligned}$$

Let the nonlinearity  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  be time-independent, *decentralized* [8] ( $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $\psi_i(y) = \psi_i(y_i)$ ), and fulfill the following *sector condition*:

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^p, \quad \psi(y)^T (\psi(y) - Ky) \leq 0, \quad (3)$$

for a certain diagonal matrix  $K \geq 0$  (the inequality is hence also valid componentwise). By analogy with the concepts of absolute and of  $\alpha$ -stability [11,14], we define :

**Definition 1** *Let  $\alpha$  be a nonnegative scalar. System (1a) (resp. (1b)) is called absolutely stable with decay rate  $\alpha$  (or absolutely  $\alpha$ -stable) if, for any global solution  $x$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{\alpha t} x(t)}{\|\phi\|} = 0,$$

*where the convergence is uniform wrt the initial condition  $\phi \neq 0$  and to the nonlinearity  $\psi$  fulfilling (3). Here,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the euclidian norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (resp. the uniform convergence norm in  $\mathcal{C}([-h,0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ).*

---

<sup>1</sup> Email: pierre-alexandre.bliman@inria.fr

$$R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R(P, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} A^T P + PA + 2\alpha P + 2\alpha C^T K|\eta|_+ KC & -PB + C^T K + A^T C^T K\eta \\ -B^T P + KC + \eta KCA & -2I - \eta KCB - B^T C^T K\eta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

In the present note, some simple absolute  $\alpha$ -stability criteria are proposed. The presentation is unified for both finite-dimensional and delay systems, of type (1a) and (1b) respectively. Our main contribution is the following. • A criterion for finite-dimensional systems (1a) is provided (Theorem 2). It is expressed equivalently as a Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI), a standard class of problems for which sound numerical methods have been developed [5], or under frequency domain form. • The previous frequency domain form of the criterion is shown to be valid for delay systems (1b) too (Theorem 5). The results generalize Popov criterion, which is found when taking  $\alpha = 0$ . Notice that one may easily adapt the results proposed herein to absolute  $\alpha$ -stability for systems with *time-varying* nonlinearities, or to local stability results, see [3]. More generally, the results stated here could be applied to more general systems (e.g. systems with distributed delays, integral systems), as it is indeed the case for Popov criterion, see [7, §4.6.] and [6].

The case of finite-dimensional systems is treated in Section 2. The frequency domain criterion for delay systems stability is stated in Section 3. Section 4 is a conclusion. The issues of existence and uniqueness of the solutions are not considered, as they have been extensively studied. In all the sequel, it is assumed that there exist *global solutions* of (1a) (resp. (1b)), that is, *by definition*: for all  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (resp. for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}([-h, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ), there exists a continuous function  $x$  defined on  $[0, +\infty)$  (resp. on  $[-h, +\infty)$ ), absolutely continuous (AC) on  $[0, +\infty)$ , such that  $x(0) = \phi$  (resp.  $x|_{[-h, 0]} = \phi$ ) and (1a) (resp. (1b)) is fulfilled almost everywhere on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

**Notations** The abbreviation SPR is used for “strictly positive real”. For  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , one denotes by  $\text{sgn}z$  the sign of  $z$  ( $\text{sgn}0 = 1$  or  $-1$  indifferently), and  $|z|_+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{z, 0\}$ ,  $|z|_- \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{-z, 0\}$ . By convention, one extends the action of any map acting on scalar or scalar-valued functions to an operator acting on matrices or matrix-valued functions, obtained by componentwise application. As an example, for any diagonal matrix  $\eta$ ,  $|\eta|_\pm = \sup\{\pm\eta, 0\} = \text{diag}\{\sup\{\pm\eta_i, 0\}\} = \text{diag}\{|\eta_i|_\pm\}$ .

## 2 Finite-dimensional systems

**Theorem 2** *Assume that*

(H) *The nonlinearity  $\psi$  is measurable, decentralized and there exists a diagonal matrix  $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{diag}\{K_i\} \geq 0$  such that (3) holds.*

*Let  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and  $\eta$  be a diagonal matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ . The following properties are equivalent, and imply absolute  $\alpha$ -stability of system (1a).*

- *There exists a symmetric definite positive matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $R(P, \eta)$  defined in (4) is definite negative.*
- *The roots of  $\det(sI - A)$  have real part smaller than  $-\alpha$ , and for  $H$  defined in (2a), the transfer*

$$I + (I + \eta(s - \alpha)I)KH(s - \alpha) - \alpha H^H(s - \alpha)K|\eta|_+ KH(s - \alpha) \text{ is SPR}. \quad (5)$$

Theorem 2 extends, for finite-dimensional systems, the usual form of the Popov criterion, obtained for  $\alpha = 0$ . The result of  $\alpha$ -stability obtained for null Popov slope  $\eta$  constitutes an extension of the circle criterion, and may be found in [10]. Given the sign of the components of the diagonal matrix  $\eta$ , (4) is a linear matrix inequality in the two unknowns  $P, \eta$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2** The following lemma plays central role in the demonstration of Theorems 2 and 5.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_i : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable a.e. Then, for almost any  $T \geq 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dT} \left[ e^{2\alpha T} \int_0^{e^{-\alpha T} \mathcal{Y}_i(T)} \psi_i(z) dz \right] \\ & \leq \alpha K_i \mathcal{Y}_i^2(T) + e^{\alpha T} (\dot{\mathcal{Y}}_i(T) - \alpha \mathcal{Y}_i(T)) \psi_i(e^{-\alpha T} \mathcal{Y}_i(T)). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** The left-hand side being equal to  $2\alpha e^{2\alpha T} \int_0^{e^{-\alpha T} \mathcal{Y}_i(T)} \psi_i(z) dz + e^{\alpha T} (\dot{\mathcal{Y}}_i(T) - \alpha \mathcal{Y}_i(T)) \psi_i(e^{-\alpha T} \mathcal{Y}_i(T))$ , bound the integral term, using the fact that  $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\int_0^z \psi_i(z') dz' \leq \frac{1}{2} K_i z^2$ , due to sector condition.  $\square$

- Assume first that  $\eta \geq 0$ . With the change of variables  $\mathcal{X}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\alpha t} x(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\alpha t} y(t)$ ,  $\varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\alpha t} \psi(e^{-\alpha t} \mathcal{Y})$ , (1a) is changed into the *nonautonomous* system

$$\dot{\mathcal{X}} = (\alpha I + A)\mathcal{X}(t) - B\varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t)), \quad \mathcal{Y} = C\mathcal{X}. \quad (6)$$

From Hypothesis (H), one deduces that

$$\forall \mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^p, \forall t \geq 0, \quad \varphi(t, \mathcal{Y})^T (\varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}) - K\mathcal{Y}) \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

Absolute  $\alpha$ -stability of (1a) is equivalent to:  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{X}(t) = 0$ , uniformly wrt  $\phi$  in any compact and to  $\varphi$  verifying (7).

For the (positive definite) function  $V(t, \mathcal{X}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}^T P \mathcal{X} + 2e^{2\alpha t} \sum_{i=1}^p \eta_i K_i \int_0^{e^{-\alpha t}(C\mathcal{X})_i} \psi_i(z) dz$ ,  $\dot{V} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d}{dt}[V(t, \mathcal{X}(t))]$

is less than  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}(t) \\ \varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t)) \end{pmatrix}^T R \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}(t) \\ \varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t)) \end{pmatrix}$  a.e. on

$(0, +\infty)$  along the trajectories of (6), for  $R$  defined by (4). To get this, use (H) and Lemma 3, and add the nonnegative term  $2\varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t))^T (K\mathcal{Y}(t) - \varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t)))$ . Now, for any  $(t, \mathcal{X}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^T P \mathcal{X} \leq V(t, \mathcal{X}) \leq \mathcal{X}^T (P + C^T K \eta K C) \mathcal{X}$ . Thus,  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ , independent from  $\phi, \psi$ , such that  $\dot{V} + \varepsilon V \leq 0$  a.e. on  $(0, +\infty)$ . The regularity hypothesis on  $x$  implies that  $t \mapsto V(t, \mathcal{X}(t))$  too is AC, as  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, p\}, \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}, |\int_0^{z_1} \psi_i(z) dz - \int_0^{z_2} \psi_i(z) dz| \leq K_i \max\{|z_1|, |z_2|\} |z_1 - z_2|$ . Thus  $V(t, \mathcal{X}(t)) e^{\varepsilon t} - V(0, \mathcal{X}(0)) = \int_0^t (\dot{V} + \varepsilon V) e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \leq 0$ , and, whenever LMI (4) is feasible and  $\eta \geq 0$ , then system (6) is globally exponentially stable with the prescribed uniform convergence property.

Last, check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -((s - \alpha)I - A)^{-1}B \\ I \end{pmatrix}^H R \begin{pmatrix} -((s - \alpha)I - A)^{-1}B \\ I \end{pmatrix}$$

is equal to  $-[I + (I + \eta(s - \alpha)I)KH(s - \alpha) - \alpha H^H(s - \alpha)K\eta KH(s - \alpha)] - [I + (I + \eta(s - \alpha)I)KH(s - \alpha) - \alpha H^H(s - \alpha)K\eta KH(s - \alpha)]^H + (s + \bar{s})B^T((s - \alpha)I - A)^{-H}P((s - \alpha)I - A)^{-1}B$ . Based on this identity, equivalence between feasibility of (4) and condition (5) is proved, by use of Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov lemma. This achieves the proof of Theorem 2 in the case  $\eta \geq 0$ .

- To remove the constraints on the sign of  $\eta$  [1], consider instead of  $\psi(y)$  the inputs  $\hat{\psi}(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{sgn}\eta\psi(y) + \frac{1}{2}(I - \text{sgn}\eta)Ky$ , and apply the previous result to the transformed system [13]. Direct calculation shows that this amounts to consider the system obtained when replacing  $A$  (resp.  $B$ , resp.  $\eta$ ) by  $\hat{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A - B \frac{I - \text{sgn}\eta}{2} KC$  (resp.  $\hat{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B \text{sgn}\eta$ , resp.  $\hat{\eta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\eta| \geq 0$ ). To verify this [2,3], check that  $Ax - B\psi(y) = \hat{A}x - \hat{B}\hat{\psi}(y)$  for  $y = Cx$ , and that  $\psi(y)^T(\psi(y) - Ky) = \hat{\psi}(y)^T(\hat{\psi}(y) - Ky)$ , so  $\hat{\psi}$  fulfills same sector condition than  $\psi$ . Denote  $\hat{H}(s) = C(sI - \hat{A})\hat{B}$  the transfer obtained when replacing  $\psi$  by  $\hat{\psi}$ . We prove that (5) is equivalent to:  $I + (I + \hat{\eta}(s - \alpha)I)K\hat{H}(s - \alpha) - \alpha\hat{H}^H(s - \alpha)K\hat{\eta}K\hat{H}(s - \alpha)$  is SPR.

**Lemma 4** *Let us denote  $\hat{I} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{sgn } \eta$ ,  $\hat{J} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (I - \hat{I})/2$ . Then,  $\hat{H}(s) = (I + H(s)\hat{J}K)^{-1}H(s)\hat{I} = H(s)(I + \hat{J}KH(s))^{-1}\hat{I}$ .*

**Proof** the new input  $\hat{\psi}$  being defined as in the proof of Theorem 2, one has:  $y = -H\psi = -H(\hat{J}\psi + (I - \hat{J})\psi) = -H(\hat{J}(Ky - \varphi) + (I - \hat{J})\psi) = -H(\hat{J}Ky - \hat{J}\hat{\psi} + (I - \hat{J})\hat{\psi}) = -H(\hat{I}\hat{\psi} + \hat{J}Ky)$ , and finally:  $(I + H\hat{J}K)y = -H\hat{I}\hat{\psi}$ , which gives the 1st equality. Deduction of the 2nd equality is straightforward.  $\square$

Applying Lemma 4 and using the identities  $\hat{J}^2 = \hat{J} = -\hat{I}\hat{J} = -\hat{J}\hat{I}$ ,  $2\hat{J} + \hat{I} = \hat{I}^2 = I_p$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\hat{I} = \eta$ ,  $(\hat{J}\hat{I} + I)\hat{\eta} = |\eta|_+$ , one gets  $2I + (I + \hat{\eta}(s - \alpha))K\hat{H}(s - \alpha) + \hat{H}^H(s - \alpha)K(I + \hat{\eta}(\bar{s} - \alpha)) - 2\alpha\hat{H}^H(s - \alpha)K\hat{\eta}K\hat{H}(s - \alpha) = \hat{I}(I + H^H(s - \alpha)K\hat{J})^{-1}\hat{G}(s)(I + \hat{J}KH(s - \alpha))^{-1}\hat{I}$ , where  $\hat{G}(s) = 2(I + H^H(s - \alpha)K\hat{J})(I + \hat{J}KH(s - \alpha)) + (I + H^H(s - \alpha)K\hat{J})\hat{I}(I + \hat{\eta}(s - \alpha))KH(s - \alpha) + H^H(s - \alpha)K(I + \hat{\eta}(\bar{s} - \alpha))\hat{I}(I + \hat{J}KH(s - \alpha)) - 2\alpha H^H(s - \alpha)K\hat{\eta}H(s - \alpha) = 2I + [2\hat{J} + \hat{I} + \hat{\eta}\hat{I}(s - \alpha)]KH(s - \alpha) + H^H(s - \alpha)K[2\hat{J} + \hat{I} + \hat{\eta}\hat{I}(\bar{s} - \alpha)] - H^H(s - \alpha)K[2\hat{J}^2 + 2\hat{J}\hat{I} - 2\alpha(\hat{J}\hat{I} + I)\hat{\eta} + \hat{J}\hat{I}(s + \bar{s})\hat{\eta}]KH(s - \alpha) = 2I + (I + \eta(s - \alpha))KH(s - \alpha) + H^H(s - \alpha)K(I + \eta(\bar{s} - \alpha)) - 2\alpha H^H(s - \alpha)K|\eta|_+KH(s - \alpha) - (s + \bar{s})H^H(s - \alpha)K\hat{J}\hat{I}\hat{\eta}KH(s - \alpha)$ . Putting  $\text{Re } s = 0$ , the last term vanishes, so  $\hat{G}$  is SPR and only if  $\hat{G}$  is SPR. This achieves the proof of Theorem 2 for general systems.  $\square$

### 3 Delay systems

We hereafter show that the frequency domain form of Theorem 2 is true for delay systems too.

**Theorem 5** *Assume that Hypothesis (H) holds. Let  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and suppose that the roots of  $\det(sI - A - \sum_{l=0}^L A_l e^{-h_l s})$  have real part smaller than  $-\alpha$ , and that there exists a diagonal matrix  $\eta$  such that (5) holds, for  $H$  defined in (2b). Then, the delay system (1b) is absolutely  $\alpha$ -stable.*

Again, for  $\alpha = 0$ , Theorem 5 reduces to the frequency domain form of the Popov criterion [12,9].

**Proof of Theorem 5** The proof of Theorem 5 is inspired from [12,9,7], with adequate improvements. Suppose  $\eta \geq 0$ , the other cases are treated as in 2nd part of proof of Theorem 2, by considering  $\hat{H}(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\sum_{l=0}^L C_l e^{-h_l s})(sI - \sum_{l=0}^L \hat{A}_l e^{-h_l s})^{-1}\hat{B}$ ,  $\hat{A}_l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_l - B \frac{I - \text{sgn}\eta}{2} KC_l$ .

Define  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \varphi$  as in proof of Theorem 2, and  $\phi_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\alpha t}\phi$ . One deduces from (1b) that  $\dot{\mathcal{X}} = \alpha\mathcal{X} + \sum_{l=0}^L e^{\alpha h_l} A_l \mathcal{X}(t - h_l) - B\varphi(t, \mathcal{Y})$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} = \sum_{l=0}^L e^{\alpha h_l} C_l \mathcal{X}(t - h_l)$ ,  $\mathcal{X}|_{[-h, 0]} = \phi_\alpha$ . For  $T \geq 0$ , fix  $\varphi_T(t) = \varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t))$  if  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$= 0$  if  $-h \leq t < 0$  or  $t > T$ ;  $\dot{\mathcal{X}}_T = \alpha \mathcal{X}_T + \sum_{l=0}^L e^{\alpha h_l} A_l \mathcal{X}_T(t - h_l) - B \varphi_T$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_T|_{[-h,0]} = 0$ ; and  $\mathcal{Y}_T = \sum_{l=0}^L e^{\alpha h_l} C_l \mathcal{X}_T(t - h_l)$ . Then,  $\dot{\mathcal{X}} - \dot{\mathcal{X}}_T = \alpha(\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X}_T) + \sum_{l=0}^L e^{\alpha h_l} A_l(\mathcal{X}(t - h_l) - \mathcal{X}_T(t - h_l))$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $(\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X}_T)|_{[-h,0]} = \phi_\alpha$ . From the hypothesis on the location of the roots of the characteristic polynomial,  $\exists c_1, \lambda > 0$ , independent of  $\phi_\alpha$  and  $T$ , such that

$$\forall t \in [-h, T], \|\mathcal{X}(t) - \mathcal{X}_T(t)\| \leq c_1 e^{-\lambda t} \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])}, \quad (8a)$$

$$\forall t \geq T, \|\mathcal{X}_T(t)\| \leq c_1 e^{-\lambda(t-T)} \|\mathcal{X}_T(T + \cdot)\|_{C([-h,0])}, \quad (8b)$$

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \|\mathcal{Y}(t)\| \leq c_1 e^{-\lambda t} \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} + \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|. \quad (8c)$$

Formula (8c) is deduced from the preceding, by writing  $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^L e^{\alpha h_l} C_l(\mathcal{X}(t - h_l) - \mathcal{X}_T(t - h_l)) + \mathcal{Y}_T(t)$ .

Obviously,  $\int_0^T [K_i \mathcal{Y}_i(t) - \varphi_i(t, \mathcal{Y}_i(t))] \varphi_i(t, \mathcal{Y}_i(t)) dt + e^{2\alpha T} \eta_i K_i \int_0^{e^{-\alpha T} \mathcal{Y}_i(T)} \psi_i(z) dz \geq 0$ , for any  $T \geq 0$ , any  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ . As the solution is AC by assumption, one may integrate the inequality in Lemma 3. Using (8) and the fact that  $\alpha, \eta \geq 0$ , yields, by summation on  $i$ :  $\int_0^{+\infty} (\varphi_T^T(t)(K \mathcal{Y}_T(t) - \varphi_T(t)) + \varphi_T^T(t) \eta K \dot{\mathcal{Y}}_T(t) + \alpha \mathcal{Y}_T^T(t) K \eta K \mathcal{Y}_T(t)) dt \geq -c_2 \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} (\|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|)$ .

The constant  $c_2 \geq 0$  is independent of  $\phi_\alpha$  and  $T$ , and the terms with  $\varphi_T(t)$  in the previous integral vanish on  $[T, +\infty)$ . This implies  $\mathcal{Y}_T, \dot{\mathcal{Y}}_T \in L^2(0, +\infty)$ , due to the fact that  $H(s - \alpha)$  is strictly proper.

By assumption,  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(1 - \varepsilon)I + (I + \eta(s - \alpha)I)KH(s - \alpha) - \alpha H^H(s - \alpha)K \eta KH(s - \alpha)$  is still SPR. Thus, performing Fourier transform and using the identity  $\dot{\mathcal{Y}}_T(\omega) = -H(j\omega - \alpha)\tilde{\varphi}_T(\omega)$  linking Fourier transforms, yields:  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ , independent from  $\phi, \psi$ , such that  $c_2 \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} (\|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|) \geq \varepsilon \int_0^{+\infty} \|\varphi_T(t)\|^2 dt \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|H(s - \alpha)\|_\infty^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|^2 dt$ .

As  $H(s - \alpha)$  is strictly proper, one gets similarly  $\int_0^T \|\dot{\mathcal{Y}}_T(t)\|^2 dt \leq c_3 \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} (\|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|)$ . One infers that,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ :  $\|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|^2 = \|\mathcal{Y}_T(0)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_T(\tau)^T \dot{\mathcal{Y}}_T(\tau) d\tau \leq 2 \|H(s - \alpha)\|_\infty \sqrt{c_2 c_3 / \varepsilon} \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} (\|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|)$ , using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and  $\mathcal{Y}_T(0) = 0$ . Solving the polynomial inequality then leads to  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\| \leq c_4 \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])}$ , for a certain constant  $c_4 > 0$ . This in turn implies that,  $\forall T \geq 0$ ,  $\int_0^{+\infty} \|\mathcal{Y}_T(t)\|^2 dt, \int_0^{+\infty} \|\mathcal{Y}(t)\|^2 dt, \int_0^T \|\varphi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t))\|^2 dt \leq c_5 \|\phi_\alpha\|_{C([-h,0])}^2$ . In the previous estimates,  $c_5$  is independent of  $T$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{X}, \dot{\mathcal{X}} \in L^2(0, +\infty)$ , and  $\mathcal{X}(t) \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover, the uniformity of the estimates implies that the convergence is uniform wrt  $\phi$  in any bounded set and to  $\varphi$  verifying (7). This ends the

proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

## 4 Conclusion

In this note, some extensions of Popov criterion for finite-dimensional systems or delay systems with sector-bounded nonlinearities are given, permitting to integrate constraint on the decay rate of the solutions. For finite-dimensional systems, the assumptions are expressed in terms of solvability of a certain linear matrix inequality (obtained by use of Lyapunov method), or equivalently under a frequency domain condition. This previous form is also shown to be valid for delay systems.

## References

- [1] M.A. Aizerman, F.R. Gantmacher, *Absolute stability of regulator systems*, Holden-Day Inc., 1964
- [2] P.-A. Bliman, Extension of Popov criterion to time-varying nonlinearities: LMI, frequential and graphical conditions, *Stability and stabilization of nonlinear systems*, D. Aeyels, F. Lamnabhi-Lagarigue, A. van der Schaft (Eds.), Lecture notes in control and information sciences **246**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, 95–114
- [3] P.-A. Bliman, Extension of Popov absolute stability criterion to nonautonomous systems with delays, *International Journal of Control* **73** no 15, 1349–1361 (2000)
- [4] H. Bourlès,  $\alpha$ -stability and robustness of large-scale interconnected systems, *Int. J. Contr.* **36**, 2221–2232, 1987
- [5] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, V. Balakrishnan, *Linear matrix inequalities in system and control theory*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics vol. 15, 1994
- [6] C. Corduneanu, Absolute stability of some integro-differential systems. *Ordinary differential equations* (Proc. Conf., Math. Res. Center, Naval Res. Lab., Washington, D.C., 1971), Academic Press, New York, 55–70, 1972.
- [7] A. Halanay, *Differential equations: stability, oscillations, time lags*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1966
- [8] H.K. Khalil, *Nonlinear systems*, Macmillan Publishing Company, 1992
- [9] X.-J. Li, On the absolute stability of systems with time lags, *Chinese Math.* **4**, 609–626, 1963
- [10] B.N. Naumov, Y.Z. Tsypkin, A frequency criterion for absolute process stability in nonlinear automatic control systems, *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika* **25** no 6, 852–867, 1964
- [11] S.-I. Niculescu,  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  memoryless control with an  $\alpha$ -stability constraint for time-delay systems : an LMI approach, *IEEE trans. Automat. Contr.* **43** no 5, 739–743, 1998
- [12] V.M. Popov, A. Halanay, On the stability of nonlinear automatic control systems with lagging argument, *Automat. Remote Control* **23**, 783–786, 1962
- [13] Z.V. Rekasius, J.E. Gibson, Stability analysis of nonlinear control systems by the second method of Lyapunov, *IRE Trans. Automatic Control* **AC-7**, no 1, 3–15, 1962
- [14] Y.-J. Sun, J.-G. Hsieh, On  $\alpha$ -stability criteria of nonlinear systems with multiple time delays, *J. Franklin Inst.* **335B** no 4, 695–705, 1998
- [15] R.-J. Wang, W.-J. Wang,  $\alpha$ -stability analysis of perturbed systems with multiple noncommensurate time delays, *IEEE Trans. Circ. Syst. - I* **43** no 4, 349–352, 1996