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## Context - steady case

Hybridized formulation of mixed finite element methods

- Fraeijs de Veubeke (1960's)
- Arnold and Brezzi (1985)
- Arbogast and Chen (1995)
- interface mesh given by the neighboring subdomains
- interface: same mesh and same polynomial degree as in the subdomains
- hybridized and initial problems equivalent

```
Multiscale mortar mixed finite element method
    - Arbogast, Pencheva, Wheeler, and Yotov (2007)
    - independent interface mesh
    - typically coarser but one employs polynomials of higher degree
    - (multiscale) weak continuity of the normal flux component over the interfaces
        between subdomains
    - efficient parallelization via a non-overlapping domain decomposition algorithm
        reducing to an interface problem
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## Local time stepping for parabolic problems

- Ewing, Lazarov, and Vassilevski (1990), Delpopolo Carciopolo, Cusini, Formaggia, and Hajibeygi (2020),
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Domain decomposition methods with local time stepping
    - Dawson, Du, and Dupont (1991), Yu (2001), Gaiffe, Glowinski, and Masson
        (2002), Faucher and Combescure (2003), Gander and Halpern (2007),
    Nakshatrala, Nakshatrala, and Tortorelli (2009), Hager, Hauret, Le Tallec, and
    Wohlmuth (2012), Kheriji, Masson, and A. Moncorgé (2015), Krause and
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## Setting

The heat equation
Find $p: \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u: \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} & =q & & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T], \\
\mathbf{u} & =-K \nabla p & & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T], \\
p & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T], \\
p & =p_{0}(x) & & \text { on } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $q \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), p_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \nabla \cdot K \nabla p_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$
- $K$ : time-independent, uniformly bounded, symmetric, and positive definite


## Weak solution

Find $\mathbf{u} \in L^{2}(0, T ; \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)), p \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ s.t. $p(\cdot, 0)=p_{0}$ \& a.e. in $(0, T)$,
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## Weak solution

Find $\mathbf{u} \in L^{2}(0, T ; \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega)), p \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ s.t. $p(\cdot, 0)=p_{0} \&$ ae. in $(0, T)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(K^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\right)_{\Omega}-(p, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})_{\Omega}=0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega) \\
& \left(\partial_{t} p, w\right)_{\Omega}+(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, w)_{\Omega}=(q, w)_{\Omega} \quad \forall w \in L^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- actually also $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and $p \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$
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Tensor product space-time spaces on each space-time subdomain $\Omega_{i}^{T}$

$$
\mathbf{V}_{h, i}^{\Delta t}:=\underbrace{\mathbf{V}_{h, i}}_{\text {MFE spaces }} \otimes \underbrace{W_{i}^{\Delta t}}_{\text {discontinuous pw polynomials in time }}, \quad W_{h, i}^{\Delta t}:=\underbrace{W_{h, i}}_{\text {discontinuous pw polynomials in space }}
$$

$$
\Lambda_{H, i j}^{\Delta T}:=\underbrace{\Lambda_{H, i j} \otimes \Lambda_{i j}^{\Delta T}}_{\text {continuous or discontinuous pw polynomials in space and in time }}
$$

## Global spaces and time stepping

Global space-time finite element spaces
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\mathbf{V}_{h}^{\Delta t}:=\bigoplus \mathbf{V}_{h, i}^{\Delta t}, \quad W_{h}^{\Delta t}:=\bigoplus W_{h, i}^{\Delta t}, \quad \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}:=\bigoplus \Lambda_{H, i j}^{\Delta T}
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Discontinuous Galerkin time stepping

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \tilde{\partial}_{t} \varphi \phi=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{i}} \int_{t_{i}^{k-1}}^{t_{i}^{k}} \partial_{t} \varphi \phi+\sum_{k=1}^{N_{i}}[\varphi]_{k-1} \phi_{k-1}^{+},
$$

with

$$
[\varphi]_{k}=\varphi_{k}^{+}-\varphi_{k}^{-}, \quad \varphi_{k}^{+}=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{k,+}} \varphi, \quad \varphi_{k}^{-}=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{t,-}} \varphi
$$
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Definition (Space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method)
Find $\mathrm{u}_{h}^{\Delta t} \in \mathbf{V}_{h}^{\Delta t}, p_{h}^{\Delta t} \in W_{h}^{\Delta t}$, and $\lambda_{H} \Delta^{T} \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mathbf{v}\right)+b^{T}\left(\mathbf{v}, p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right)+b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\mathbf{v}, \lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right) & =0 & & \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{h}^{\Delta t} \\
\left(\tilde{\partial}_{t} p_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right)_{\Omega^{T}}-b^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right) & =(q, w)_{\Omega^{T}} & & \forall w \in W_{h}^{\Delta t} \\
b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mu\right) & =0 & & \forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}
\end{aligned}
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## Assumptions

## Assumption (Mortar grids)

For $C$ independent of the spatial mesh sizes $h$ and $H$ as well as of the temporal mesh sizes $\Delta t$ and $\Delta T$, there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall \mu \in \wedge_{H}, \forall i, j, \quad\|\mu\|_{\Gamma_{i j}} \leq C\left(\left\|\mathcal{Q}_{h, i} \mu\right\|_{\Gamma_{i j}}+\left\|\mathcal{Q}_{n, j} \mu\right\|_{\Gamma_{i j}}\right), \\
& \forall i, j, \quad \wedge_{i j}^{\Delta T} \subset W_{i}^{\Delta t} \cap W_{j}^{\Delta t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comments

- $\wedge_{H}$
- $\mathcal{Q}_{h, i}: L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{h, i} \cdot \mathrm{n}_{i}$ is the $L^{2}$-orthogonal projection
- the spatial mortar assumption as previously: in particular satisfied with $C=\frac{1}{2}$ when $T_{H, j}$ is a coarsening of both $T_{h, i}$ and $T_{h, j}$ on $\Gamma_{i j}$ \& the space $\Lambda_{H, i j}$ consists of discontinuous pw polynomials contained in $\mathbf{V}_{h, i} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{h, j} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{j}$ on $\Gamma_{i j}$; in general, it requests the mortar space $\Lambda_{H}$ to be sufficiently coarse
- the temporal mortar assumption: control of the mortar by the subdomain time discretizations; mortar time discretization is a coarsening of each subdomain
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## Two inf-sup inequalities

## Lemma (Discrete divergence inf-sup condition on $\mathbf{V}_{h, 0}^{\Delta t}$ )

Let the mortar assumptions hold. Then

$$
\forall w \in W_{h}^{\Delta t}, \quad \sup _{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{h, 0}^{\Delta t}} \frac{b^{T}(\mathbf{v}, w)}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; T_{i} ; \mathbf{H}\left(\mathrm{div} ; \Omega_{i}\right)\right)} \geq \beta\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} . . . . ~}
$$
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$$

Lemma (Discrete mortar inf-sup condition on $\mathbf{V}_{h} \Delta t$ )
Let the mortar assumptions hold. Then

$$
\forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}, \quad \sup _{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{h}^{\Delta t}} \frac{b_{\Gamma}^{T}(\mathbf{v}, \mu)}{\left.\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; \Pi ;} \mathbf{H}\left(\operatorname{div} ; \Omega_{i}\right)\right)} \geq \beta_{\Gamma}\|\mu\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Gamma)\right)}
$$

## Existence, uniqueness, and stability

Theorem (Existence and uniqueness of the discrete solution, stability wrt data)
Let the mortar assumptions hold. Then the space-time multiscale mortar MFE method has a unique solution. Moreover,

$$
\left\|p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\mathrm{DG}}+\left\|\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T} T}+\left\|p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right\|_{\Gamma^{T}} \leq C\left(\|q\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\nabla \cdot K \nabla p_{0}\right\|_{\Omega}\right) .
$$

Comments

- $\|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{DG}}^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(\left\|\varphi_{N_{i}}\right\|_{\Omega_{i}}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{N_{i}}\left\|[\varphi]_{k-1}\right\|_{\Omega_{i}}^{2}\right)$
- no control of divergence (shown later in a simplified setting)



## Existence, uniqueness, and stability

## Theorem (Existence and uniqueness of the discrete solution, stability wrt data)

Let the mortar assumptions hold. Then the space-time multiscale mortar MFE method has a unique solution. Moreover,

$$
\left\|p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\mathrm{DG}}+\left\|\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right\|_{\Gamma^{T}} \leq C\left(\|q\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\nabla \cdot K \nabla p_{0}\right\|_{\Omega}\right) .
$$

## Comments

- $\|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{DG}}^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(\left\|\varphi_{\bar{N}_{i}}\right\|_{\Omega_{i}}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{N_{i}}\left\|[\varphi]_{k-1}\right\|_{\Omega_{i}}^{2}\right)$
- no control of divergence (shown later in a simplified setting)


## A priori error estimate

## Theorem (A priori error estimate)

Let the mortar assumptions hold and let the weak solution be sufficiently smooth. Let the space and time meshes $\mathcal{T}_{h, i}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{i}^{\Delta t}$ be quasi-uniform and let $h \leq C h_{i}$ and $\Delta t \leq C \Delta t_{i}$ for all $i$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\mathrm{DG}}+\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\lambda-\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right\|_{\Gamma^{T}} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{i}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{r}\left(0, T ; H^{r_{k}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right)}\left(h^{r_{k}}+\Delta t^{r_{q}}\right)+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{r^{\prime}\left(0, T ; H^{\tilde{r}_{k}+\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)\right)}}\left(h^{\tilde{r}_{k}} H^{\frac{1}{2}}+\Delta t^{r_{q}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i}\|p\|_{W^{r^{\prime}, \infty}\left(0, T ; H^{r_{l}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right)} \Delta t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(h^{r_{l}}+\Delta t^{r_{q}}\right)+\sum_{i, j}\|\lambda\|_{H^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; H^{r m}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)\right)} h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(H^{r_{m}}+\Delta T^{r_{s}}\right)\right), \\
& \underbrace{0<r_{k} \text { or } \tilde{r}_{k} \leq k+1}_{\text {MFE space }}, \underbrace{0 \leq r_{l} \leq I+1}_{\text {pw pols space }}, \underbrace{0 \leq r_{q} \leq q+1}_{\text {pw pols time }}, \underbrace{0 \leq r_{m} \leq m+1}_{\text {mortars space }}, \underbrace{0 \leq r_{s} \leq s+1}_{\text {mortars time }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## A priori error estimate

## Comments

- the term $h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(H^{r_{m}}+\Delta T^{r_{s}}\right)$ appears from the discrete trace (inverse) inequality and is suboptimal; can be made comparable to the other error terms by choosing $m$ and $s$ sufficiently large (if the solution is sufficiently smooth)
- the term $\Delta t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(h^{r_{l}}+\Delta t^{r_{q}}\right)$ is suboptimal
- both improved if a bound on $\left\|\nabla \cdot\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right)\right\|_{\Omega_{i}^{T}}$ is available, using the normal trace inequality for $\mathbf{H}\left(\mathrm{div} ; \Omega_{i}\right)$


## Improved stability

## Radau reconstruction operator

- for $\varphi(x, \cdot) \in W^{\Delta t}, \mathcal{I} \varphi(x, \cdot) \in H^{1}(0, T),\left.\mathcal{I} \varphi(x, \cdot)\right|_{\left(t^{k-1}, t^{k}\right)} \in P_{q+1}$, such that

$$
\int_{t^{k-1}}^{t^{k}} \partial_{t} \mathcal{I} \varphi \phi=\int_{t^{k-1}}^{t^{k}} \partial_{t} \varphi \phi+[\varphi]_{k-1} \phi_{k-1}^{+} \quad \forall \phi(x, \cdot) \in W^{\Delta t}
$$

- thus equivalently, $\tilde{\partial}_{t} p_{h}^{\Delta t}$ replaced by $\partial_{t} \mathcal{I} p_{h}^{\Delta t}$ :

$$
\left(\partial_{t} I p_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right)_{\Omega^{T}}-b^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right)=(q, w)_{\Omega^{T}} \quad \forall w \in W_{h}^{\Delta t}
$$

Theorem (Control of divergence)
Let $W \Delta t=\Lambda \Delta T=W \Delta t$ (same time discretization everywhere) hold. Then

## Improved stability

## Radau reconstruction operator

- for $\varphi(x, \cdot) \in W^{\Delta t}, \mathcal{I} \varphi(x, \cdot) \in H^{1}(0, T),\left.\mathcal{I} \varphi(x, \cdot)\right|_{\left(t^{k-1}, t^{k}\right)} \in P_{q+1}$, such that

$$
\int_{t^{k-1}}^{t^{k}} \partial_{t} \mathcal{I} \varphi \phi=\int_{t^{k-1}}^{t^{k}} \partial_{t} \varphi \phi+[\varphi]_{k-1} \phi_{k-1}^{+} \quad \forall \phi(x, \cdot) \in W^{\Delta t}
$$

- thus equivalently, $\tilde{\partial}_{t} p_{h}^{\Delta t}$ replaced by $\partial_{t} \mathcal{I} p_{h}^{\Delta t}$ :

$$
\left(\partial_{t} I p_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right)_{\Omega^{T}}-b^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right)=(q, w)_{\Omega^{T}} \quad \forall w \in W_{h}^{\Delta t}
$$

## Theorem (Control of divergence)

Let $W_{i}^{\Delta t}=\Lambda_{i j}^{\Delta T}=W_{j}^{\Delta t}$ (same time discretization everywhere) hold. Then

$$
\left\|\partial_{t} \mathcal{I} p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\nabla_{h} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\mathrm{DG}} \leq C\left(\|q\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\nabla \cdot K \nabla p_{0}\right\|_{\Omega}\right)
$$

## Improved a priori error estimate

## Theorem (Improved a priori error estimate)

Let the mortar space assumption and $W_{i}^{\Delta t}=\Lambda_{i j}^{\Delta T}=W_{j}^{\Delta t}$ hold and let the weak solution be sufficiently smooth. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathbf{u}\left(t^{N}\right)-\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right)_{N}\right\|_{\Omega}+\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\nabla_{h} \cdot\left(\mathbf{U}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right)\right\|_{\Omega^{T}} \\
& +\left\|p\left(t^{N}\right)-\left(p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right) \bar{N}\right\|_{\Omega}+\left\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\|_{\Omega^{T}}+\left\|\lambda-\lambda_{H}^{\Delta}\right\|_{\Gamma^{T}} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum _ { i } \| \mathbf { u } \| W ^ { r _ { q } , \infty } \left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{\left.r_{k}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right)}\left(h^{r_{k}}+\Delta t^{r_{q}}\right)+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{r_{q}, \infty}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{r_{k}}+\frac{1}{2}(\Omega)\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{\tilde{r}_{k}} \boldsymbol{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\Delta t^{r_{q}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& +\sum_{i}\|p\|_{W^{r_{q}, \infty}\left(0, T ; H_{l}^{r_{l}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right)}\left(h^{r_{l}}+\Delta t^{r_{q}}\right)+\sum_{i, j}\|\lambda\|_{H^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; H^{\left.r_{m}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)\right)}\left(H^{r_{m}-\frac{1}{2}}+\Delta T^{r_{s}}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\sum_{i}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{\left.r_{k}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right)}\right.} h^{r_{k}}+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{\tilde{r}_{k}+\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)\right)} h^{\tilde{r}_{k}} H^{\frac{1}{2}}+\sum_{i, j}\|\lambda\|_{H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{r} m\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)\right)} H^{r_{m}-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \underbrace{0<r_{k} \text { or } \tilde{r}_{k} \leq k+1}_{\text {MFE space }}, \underbrace{0 \leq r_{l} \leq 1+1}_{\text {pw pols space }}, \underbrace{0 \leq r_{q} \leq q+1}_{\text {pw pols time }}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \leq r_{m} \leq m+1}_{\text {mortars space }}, \underbrace{1 \leq r_{s} \leq s+1}_{\text {mortars time }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Outline

## (1) Introduction

(3) Space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method

- Continuous setting
- Discrete setting
- Space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method
- Existence, uniqueness, and stability
(3) Reduction to an interface problem
(4) Numerical experiments
(5) Conclusions and future directions


## Space-time MMFEM Reduction to interface problem

## Decomposition of the solution

## Decomposition of the solution

- $\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}=\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}\left(\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right)+\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \quad p_{h}^{\Delta t}=p_{h}^{\Delta t, *}\left(\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right)+\bar{p}_{h}^{\Delta t}$
- for each $\Omega_{i}^{T},\left.\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right|_{\Omega_{i}^{T}} \in \mathbf{V}_{h, i}^{\Delta t},\left.\bar{p}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right|_{\Omega_{i}^{T}} \in W_{h, i}^{\Delta t}$ is the solution to (zero Dirichlet data on the space-time interfaces and the prescribed source term $q$, initial data $p_{0}$, and 0 boundary data on the external boundary)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}^{T}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mathbf{v}\right)+b_{i}^{T}\left(\mathbf{v}, \bar{p}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right)=0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{h, i}^{\Delta t} \\
& \left(\tilde{\partial}_{t} \bar{p}_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right)_{\Omega_{i}^{T}}-b_{i}^{T}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, w\right)=(q, w)_{\Omega_{i}^{T}} \quad \forall w \in W_{h, i}^{\Delta t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- for a given $\mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$, for each $\Omega_{i}^{T},\left.\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\mu)\right|_{\Omega_{i}^{T}} \in \mathbf{V}_{h, i}^{\Delta t},\left.p_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\mu)\right|_{\Omega_{i}^{T}} \in W_{h, i}^{\Delta t}$ is the solution to (Dirichlet data $\mu$, zero source term, initial data, and boundary data)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\mu), \mathbf{v}\right)+b_{i}^{T}\left(\mathbf{v}, p_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\mu)\right)=-\left\langle\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}, \mu\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{i}^{T}} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{h, i}^{\Delta t}, \\
& \left(\tilde{\partial}_{t} p_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\mu), w\right)_{\Omega_{i}^{T}}-b_{i}^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\mu), w\right)=0 \quad \forall w \in W_{h, i}^{\Delta t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- both above problems are posed in the individual space-time subdomains $\Omega_{i}^{T}$ and can thus be solved in parallel (no synchronization on time stepsťría


## I Space-time MMFEM Reduction to interface problem Numerical experiments $C$

## Space-time Steklov-Poincaré operator

## Lemma (Equivalence)

The MMMFE method is equivalent to: find $\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T} \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ such that

$$
-b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}\left(\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right), \mu\right)=b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mu\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T} .
$$

Space-time Steklov-Poincaré operator

- $S: \Lambda_{H} \Delta^{T} \rightarrow \Lambda_{H} \Delta^{T}$
- $g \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ is defined as $\langle g, \mu\rangle_{\Gamma^{T}}:=b_{\Gamma}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mu\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$

Lemma (Onerator form)
Equivalent operator form is: find $\lambda_{H}^{\Delta^{T}} \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta^{\top}}$ such that

## Space-time Steklov-Poincaré operator

## Lemma (Equivalence)

The MMMFE method is equivalent to: find $\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T} \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ such that

$$
-b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}\left(\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right), \mu\right)=b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mu\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T} .
$$

Space-time Steklov-Poincaré operator

- $S: \Lambda_{H}{ }^{T} \rightarrow \Lambda_{H}{ }^{T}$

$$
\langle S \lambda, \mu\rangle_{\Gamma^{T}}:=\sum_{i}\left\langle S_{i} \lambda, \mu\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{i}^{T}}, \quad\left\langle S_{i} \lambda, \mu\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{i}^{T}}:=-\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\lambda) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}, \mu\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{i}^{T}} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}
$$

- $g \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ is defined as $\langle g, \mu\rangle_{\Gamma^{T}}:=b_{\Gamma}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mu\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$


## Lemma (Operator form)

Equivalent operator form is: find $\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T} \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ such that

## Space-time Steklov-Poincaré operator

## Lemma (Equivalence)

The MMMFE method is equivalent to: find $\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T} \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ such that

$$
-b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}\left(\lambda_{H}^{\Delta}\right), \mu\right)=b_{\Gamma}^{T}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mu\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta}{ }^{T} .
$$

## Space-time Steklov-Poincaré operator

- $S: \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T} \rightarrow \Lambda_{H}{ }^{T}$

$$
\langle S \lambda, \mu\rangle_{\Gamma^{T}}:=\sum_{i}\left\langle S_{i} \lambda, \mu\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{i}^{T}}, \quad\left\langle S_{i} \lambda, \mu\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{i}^{T}}:=-\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t, *}(\lambda) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}, \mu\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{i}^{T}} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}
$$

- $g \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ is defined as $\langle g, \mu\rangle_{\Gamma^{T}}:=b_{\Gamma}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{\Delta t}, \mu\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$


## Lemma (Operator form)

Equivalent operator form is: find $\lambda_{H} \Delta^{T} \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}$ such that

$$
S \lambda_{H}^{\Delta^{T}}=g .
$$

## I Space-time MMFEM Reduction to interface problem Numerical experiments C

## Spectral bound, space-time domain decomposition algorithm

## Theorem (Spectral bound)

Let the mortar assumptions hold. Then the operator $S$ is positive definite. Let moreover $\mathcal{T}_{h, i}$ be quasi-uniform and $h \leq C h_{i}$ for all $i$. Then the following spectral bound holds:

$$
\forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta^{T}}, \quad C_{0}\|\mu\|_{\Gamma^{T}}^{2} \leq\langle S \mu, \mu\rangle_{\Gamma^{T}} \leq C_{1} h^{-1}\|\mu\|_{\Gamma^{T}}^{2} .
$$

## Comments

- well-posed space-time interface problem
- leads to a space-time domain decomposition algorithm
- GMRES can be applied; convergence through the field-of-values estimates:
- on all iterations: problems posed in the individual space-time subdomains and solved in parallel (no synchronization on time steps)



## Spectral bound, space-time domain decomposition algorithm

## Theorem (Spectral bound)

Let the mortar assumptions hold. Then the operator $S$ is positive definite. Let moreover $\mathcal{T}_{h, i}$ be quasi-uniform and $h \leq C h_{i}$ for all $i$. Then the following spectral bound holds:

$$
\forall \mu \in \Lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}, \quad C_{0}\|\mu\|_{\Gamma^{T}}^{2} \leq\langle S \mu, \mu\rangle_{\Gamma^{T}} \leq C_{1} h^{-1}\|\mu\|_{\Gamma^{T}}^{2}
$$

## Comments

- well-posed space-time interface problem
- leads to a space-time domain decomposition algorithm
- GMRES can be applied; convergence through the field-of-values estimates:

$$
\left\|\mathbf{r}_{k}\right\| \leq\left(\sqrt{1-\left(C_{0} / C_{1}\right)^{2} h^{2}}\right)^{k}\left\|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right\|
$$

- on all iterations: problems posed in the individual space-time subdomains $\Omega_{i}^{T}$ and solved in parallel (no synchronization on time steps)


## Outline

## (1) Introduction

2 Space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method

- Continuous setting
- Discrete setting
- Space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method
- Existence, uniqueness, and stability
(3) Reduction to an interface problem

4 Numerical experiments
(5) Conclusions and future directions

## Numerical experiments

## Setting

- $d=2$
- $\mathbf{V}_{h, i} \times W_{h, i}$ on each $\Omega_{i}$ is the lowest-order Raviart-Thomas pair $R T_{0} \times D G Q_{0}$ $(k=I=0)$
- backward Euler time discretization in each $\Omega_{i}^{T}(q=0)$
- mortar finite element space $\Lambda_{H, i j}^{\Delta T}$ : discontinuous bilinear $(m=s=1, H=2 h$ and $\Delta T=2 \Delta t$ ) and discontinuous biquadratic ( $m=s=2, H=\sqrt{h}$ and $\Delta T=\sqrt{\Delta t}$ ) mortars
- GMRES without preconditioner for the space-time interface problem
- deal.Il package
- $\Delta t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ loss in convergence rate in the theoretical bound not observed in the numerical results


## Example 1, smooth solution, $\Omega^{T}$



Pressure, bilinear mortars $m=s=1$, space-time grid at refinement 3 , whole $\Omega^{T}$

## Example 1



## Example 1


$x$-velocity detail on $\Omega_{1}^{T} \cup \Omega_{4}^{T}$

$x$-velocity detail on $\Omega_{2}^{T} \cup \Omega_{3}^{T}$


## Example 1

| Ref. | $\Omega_{1}^{T}$ |  |  | $\Omega_{2}^{T}$ |  |  | $\Omega_{3}^{T}$ |  |  | $\Omega_{4}^{T}$ |  |  | $\Gamma^{T}(m=1)$ |  |  | $\Gamma^{T}(m=2)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | $n_{1}$ | $N_{1}$ | \#DoF | $n_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | \#DoF | $n_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | \#DoF | $n_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | \#DoF | $n_{\Gamma}$ | $N_{\Gamma}$ | \#DoF | $n_{\Gamma}$ | $N_{\Gamma}$ | \#DoF |
| 0 | 3 | 3 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 56 | 3 | 3 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 36 |
| 1 | 6 | 6 | 120 | 4 | 4 | 56 | 8 | 8 | 208 | 6 | 6 | 120 | 2 | 2 | 64 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 12 | 12 | 456 | 8 | 8 | 208 | 16 | 16 | 800 | 12 | 12 | 456 | 4 | 4 | 256 | 2 | 2 | 144 |
| 3 | 24 | 24 | 1776 | 16 | 16 | 800 | 32 | 32 | 3136 | 24 | 24 | 1776 | 8 | 8 | 1024 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 48 | 48 | 7008 | 32 | 32 | 3136 | 64 | 64 | 12416 | 48 | 48 | 7008 | 16 | 16 | 4096 | 4 | 4 | 576 |

Meshes, polynomial degrees, and number of degrees of freedom

## Example 1: $m=s=2$ better than $m=s=1$

| Ref. | \# GMRES |  | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T: L^{2}(\Omega)\right.}$ |  | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{\text {DG }}$ |  | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; W)}$ |  | $\left\\|\lambda-\lambda_{H}^{\Delta^{T}}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \Lambda_{H}\right)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11 | Rate | $6.50 \mathrm{e}-01$ | Rate | $1.21 \mathrm{e}+00$ | Rate | 7.91e-01 | Rate | $7.98 \mathrm{e}-01$ | Rate |
| 1 | 23 | -1.06 | 3.63e-01 | 0.84 | 7.21e-01 | 0.75 | 4.76e-01 | 0.73 | 5.11e-01 | 0.64 |
| 2 | 39 | -0.76 | $1.74 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.06 | 3.19e-01 | 1.18 | 2.46e-01 | 0.95 | $2.34 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.13 |
| 3 | 59 | -0.60 | 8.63e-02 | 1.02 | 1.46e-01 | 1.13 | 1.25e-01 | 0.98 | 1.20e-01 | 0.96 |
| 4 | 86 | -0.54 | $4.29 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.01 | 6.93e-02 | 1.08 | 6.25e-02 | 1.00 | 6.11e-02 | 0.97 |

Convergence with bilinear mortars $m=s=1$


## Example 1: $m=s=2$ better than $m=s=1$

| Ref. | $\\|$ GMRES |  | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \\|$ | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{\text {DG }}$ |  | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; W)}\left\\|\lambda-\lambda_{H}^{\Delta^{T}}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \Lambda_{H}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11 | Rate | $6.50 \mathrm{e}-01$ | Rate | $1.21 \mathrm{e}+00$ | Rate | $7.91 \mathrm{e}-01$ | Rate | $7.98 \mathrm{e}-01$ | Rate |
| 1 | 23 | -1.06 | $3.63 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.84 | $7.21 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.75 | $4.76 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.73 | $5.11 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.64 |
| 2 | 39 | -0.76 | $1.74 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.06 | $3.19 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.18 | $2.46 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.95 | $2.34 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.13 |
| 3 | 59 | -0.60 | $8.63 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.02 | $1.46 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.13 | $1.25 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.98 | $1.20 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.96 |
| 4 | 86 | -0.54 | $4.29 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.01 | $6.93 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.08 | $6.25 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.00 | $6.11 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 0.97 |

Convergence with bilinear mortars $m=s=1$

| Ref. | \# GMRES |  | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{;} \mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right)}$ | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{\text {DG }}$ | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; W)}$ |  | $\left\\|\lambda-\lambda_{H}^{\Delta T}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \Lambda_{H}\right)}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 18 | Rate | $6.81 \mathrm{e}-01$ | Rate | $1.35 \mathrm{e}+00$ | Rate | $8.39 \mathrm{e}-01$ | Rate | $2.13 \mathrm{e}+00$ | Rate |
| 2 | 34 | -0.46 | $1.70 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.00 | $3.51 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.97 | $2.51 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.87 | $2.82 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.46 |
| 4 | 57 | -0.37 | $4.48 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 0.96 | $8.59 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.02 | $6.59 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 0.96 | $9.20 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 0.81 |

Convergence with biquadratic mortars $m=s=2$

## Space time MMFEM Reduction to intertaco problem Numerical experiments

## Example 2, sharp boundary layer, discontinuous bilinear mortars

 $(m=s=1)$

Pressure, cut along the plane $x=0.25$


## Example 2



Velocity magnitude, cut along the plane $x=0.25$


## Example $2, \Omega^{\top}$



Pressure, mortar multiscale method


Pressure, fine-scale method


## Example 2, $\Omega^{T}$



Velocity magnitude, mortar multiscale method


Velocity magnitude, fine-scale method

## Example 2

| Method | \# GMRES | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right)}$ | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{\mathrm{DG}}$ | $\left\\|p-p_{h}^{\Delta t}\right\\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; W)}$ | $\left\\|\lambda-\lambda_{H}^{\Delta^{T}}\right\\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \wedge_{H}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| multiscale | 102 | $5.657 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $8.425 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.319 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $5.796 \mathrm{e}-02$ |
| fine-scale | 140 | $1.524 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.234 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.154 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $3.016 \mathrm{e}-02$ |

Errors and GMRES iterations for the multiscale and fine-scale methods
(1) Introduction

2 Space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method

- Continuous setting
- Discrete setting
- Space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method
- Existence, uniqueness, and stability
(3) Reduction to an interface problem
(4) Numerical experiments
(5) Conclusions and future directions


## Space-time MMFEM Reduction to interface problem Numerical experiments

## Conclusions and future directions

## Conclusions

- standard building blocks: DG time stepping on individual subdomains
- mortar coupling: space-time interface problem
- mortars: coarse mesh / high polynomial degree: multiscale approximation
- leads to a space-time domain decomposition algorithm

Future directions

- developing a preconditioner for the space-time interface iterative solver
- dedicated a posteriori error analysis


## References

- M. Jayadharan, M. Kern, M. Vohralík, I. Yotov, A space-time multiscale mortar mixed finite element method for parabolic equations, HAL Preprint 03355088, 2021
- S. AlI Hassan, C. JAPHET, M. VOHRALíK, A posteriori stopping criteria for
space-time domain decomposition for the heat equation in mixed formulations

Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal. 49 (2018), 151-181.
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