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## Norms and other notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A\|_{F} & =\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}} \\
\|A\|_{2} & =\sigma_{\max }(A) \\
\|A\|_{\infty} & =\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| \\
\|A\|_{1} & =\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequalities $|x| \leq|y|$ and $|A| \leq|B|$ hold componentwise.
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## Algebra of the LU factorization

## LU factorization

Compute the factorization $\mathrm{PA}=\mathrm{LU}$

## Example

Given the matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 1 & 3 \\
6 & 7 & 3 \\
9 & 12 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let

$$
M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
-2 & 1 & \\
-3 & & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{1} A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 5 & -3 \\
0 & 9 & -6
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Algebra of the LU factorization

- In general

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{(k+1)} & =M_{k} A^{(k)}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{k-1} & & & & \\
& 1 & & & \\
& -m_{k+1, k} & 1 & & \\
\cdots & & \ddots & \\
& -m_{n, k} & & 1
\end{array}\right) A^{(k)}, \text { where } \\
M_{k} & =I-m_{k} e_{k}^{T}, \quad M_{k}^{-1}=I+m_{k} e_{k}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{k}$ is the $k$-th unit vector, $m_{k}=\left(0, \ldots, 0,1, m_{k+1, k}, \ldots, m_{n, k}\right)^{T}$, $e_{i}^{T} m_{k}=0, \forall i \leq k$

- The factorization can be written as

$$
M_{n-1} \ldots M_{1} A=A^{(n)}=U
$$

## Algebra of the LU factorization

- We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =M_{1}^{-1} \ldots M_{n-1}^{-1} U \\
& =\left(I+m_{1} e_{1}^{T}\right) \ldots\left(I+m_{n-1} e_{n-1}^{T}\right) U \\
& =\left(I+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_{i} e_{i}^{T}\right) U \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \\
m_{21} & 1 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
m_{n 1} & m_{n 2} & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right) U=L U
\end{aligned}
$$

## The need for pivoting

- For stability, avoid division by small diagonal elements
- For example

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 3 & 3  \tag{1}\\
3 & 1 & 3 \\
6 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

has an LU factorization if we permute the rows of matrix $A$

$$
P A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
6 & 2 & 3  \tag{2}\\
0 & 3 & 3 \\
3 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
& 1 & \\
0.5 & & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
6 & 2 & 3 \\
& 3 & 3 \\
& & 1.5
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Partial pivoting allows to bound the multipliers $m_{i k} \leq 1$ and hence $|L| \leq 1$


## Wilkinson's backward error stability result

Growth factor $g_{w}$ defined as

$$
g_{W}=\frac{\max _{i, j, k}\left|a_{i j}^{k}\right|}{\max _{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right|}
$$

Note that

$$
\left|u_{i j}\right|=\left|a_{i j}^{i}\right| \leq g_{W} \max _{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right|
$$

Theorem (Wilkinson's backward error stability result, see also [N.J.Higham, 2002] for more details)
Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and let $\hat{x}$ be the computed solution of $A x=b$ obtained by using GEPP. Then

$$
(A+\Delta A) \hat{x}=b, \quad\|\Delta A\|_{\infty} \leq n^{2} \gamma_{3 n} g_{W}(n)\|A\|_{\infty}
$$

where $\gamma_{n}=n u /(1-n u), u$ is machine precision and assuming $n u<1$.

## The growth factor

- The LU factorization is backward stable if the growth factor is small (grows linearly with $n$ ).
- For partial pivoting, the growth factor $g(n) \leq 2^{n-1}$, and this bound is attainable.
- In practice it is on the order of $n^{2 / 3}-n^{1 / 2}$


## Exponential growth factor for Wilkinson matrix

$$
A=\operatorname{diag}( \pm 1)\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Experimental results for special matrices

Several errror bounds for GEPP, the normwise backward error $\eta$ and the componentwise backward error $w(r=b-A x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta & =\frac{\|r\|_{1}}{\|A\|_{1}\|x\|_{1}+\|b\|_{1}}, \\
w & =\max _{i} \frac{\left|r_{i}\right|}{(|A||x|+|b|)_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

| matrix | $\operatorname{cond}(\mathrm{A}, 2)$ | $g_{W}$ | $\\|L\\|_{1}$ | $\operatorname{cond}(U, 1)$ | $\frac{\\|P A-L U\\|_{F}}{\\|A\\|_{F}}$ | $\eta$ | $w_{b}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hadamard | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+3$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+3$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}+5$ | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| randsvd | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+7$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+2$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| chebvand | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+2$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+3$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}+22$ | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| frank | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+20$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+30$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-27$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| hilb | $8.0 \mathrm{E}+21$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+3$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}+22$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-17$ |

## Block formulation of the LU factorization

Partitioning of matrix $A$ of size $n \times n$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A_{11}$ is of size $b \times b, A_{21}$ is of size $(m-b) \times b, A_{12}$ is of size $b \times(n-b)$ and $A_{22}$ is of size $(m-b) \times(n-b)$.

Block LU algebra
The first iteration computes the factorization:

$$
P_{1}^{T} A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{A}_{11} & \bar{A}_{12} \\
\bar{A}_{21} & \bar{A}_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L_{11} & \\
L_{21} & I_{n-b}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U_{11} & U_{12} \\
& A^{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The algorithm continues recursively on the trailing matrix $A^{1}$.

## Block LU factorization - the algorithm

1. Compute the LU factorization with partial pivoting of the first block column

$$
P_{1}\binom{A_{11}}{A_{21}}=\binom{L_{11}}{L_{21}} U_{11}
$$

2. Pivot by applying the permutation matrix $P_{1}^{T}$ on the entire matrix,

$$
\bar{A}=P_{1}^{T} A .
$$

3. Solve the triangular system

$$
L_{11} U_{12}=\bar{A}_{12}
$$

4. Update the trailing matrix,

$$
A^{1}=\bar{A}_{22}-L_{21} U_{12}
$$

5. Compute recursively the block LU factorization of $A^{1}$.

## LU Factorization as in ScaLAPACK

## LU factorization on a $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Pr} \times \mathrm{Pc}$ grid of

 processorsFor $\mathrm{ib}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}-1$ step b
$A(i b)=A(i b: n, i b: n)$

1. Compute panel factorization
$\square$ find pivot in each column, swap rows
2. Apply all row permutations

$\square$ broadcast pivot information along the rows
$\square$ swap rows at left and right
3. Compute block row of $U$
$\square$ broadcast right diagonal block of $L$ of
 current panel
4. Update trailing matrix
$\square$ broadcast right block column of L
$\square$ broadcast down block row of U

## Cost of LU Factorization in ScaLAPACK

LU factorization on a $\mathrm{P}=\operatorname{Pr} \times \mathrm{Pc}$ grid of processors
For $\mathrm{ib}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}-1$ step b
$A(i b)=A(i b: n, i b: n)$

1. Compute panel factorization
$\square$ \#messages $=O\left(n \log _{2} P_{r}\right)$

2. Apply all row permutations
$\square$ messages $=O\left(n / b\left(\log _{2} P_{r}+\log _{2} P_{c}\right)\right)$
3. Compute block row of U
\#messages $=O\left(n / b \log _{2} P_{c}\right)$

4. Update trailing matrix
$\square$ \#messages $=O\left(n / b\left(\log _{2} P_{r}+\log _{2} P_{c}\right)\right.$


## Cost of parallel block LU

Consider that we have a $\sqrt{P} \times \sqrt{P}$ grid, block size $b$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\gamma \cdot\left(\frac{2 / 3 n^{3}}{P}+\frac{n^{2} b}{\sqrt{P}}\right)+\beta \cdot \frac{n^{2} \log P}{\sqrt{P}}+ \\
\alpha \cdot\left(1.5 n \log P+\frac{3.5 n}{b} \log P\right) .
\end{array}
$$

## The QR factorization

Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, m \geq n$, its $Q R$ factorization is

$$
A=Q R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{R_{1}}{0}=Q_{1} R_{1}
$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonal and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is upper triangular.
If $A$ has full rank, the factorization $Q_{1} R_{1}$ is essentialy unique (modulo signs of diagonal elements of $R$ ).

- $A^{T} A=R_{1}^{T} R_{1}$ is a Cholesky factorization and $A=A R_{1}^{-1} R_{1}$ is a QR factorization.
- $A=Q_{1} D \cdot D R_{1}, D=\operatorname{diag}( \pm 1)$ is a $Q R$ factorization.


## Householder transformation

The Householder matrix

$$
P=I-\frac{2}{v^{\top} v} v^{T}
$$

has the following properties:

- is symmetric and orthogonal, $P^{2}=l$,
- is independent of the scaling of $v$,
- it reflects $x$ about the hyperplane $\operatorname{span}(v)^{\perp}$


$$
P x=x-\frac{2 v^{\top} x}{v^{\top} v} v=x-\alpha v
$$

Presentation of Householder transformations and stability analysis from [N.J.Higham, 2002].

## Householder for the QR factorization

We look for a Householder matrix that allows to annihilate the elements of a vector $x$, except first one.

$$
P x=y, \quad\|x\|_{2}=\|y\|_{2}, \quad y=\sigma e_{1}, \quad \sigma= \pm\|x\|_{2}
$$

With the choice of sign made to avoid cancellation when computing $v_{1}=x_{1}-\sigma$ (where $v_{1}, x_{1}$ are the first elements of vectors $v, x$ respectively), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =x-y=x-\sigma e_{1}, \\
\sigma & =-\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{1}\right)\|x\|_{2}, v=x-\sigma e_{1}, \\
P & =I-\beta v v^{\top}, \beta=\frac{2}{v^{\top} v}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Householder based QR factorization

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x & x & x \\
x & x & x \\
x & x & x
\end{array}\right) \\
P_{1} A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & x & x \\
0 & x & x \\
0 & x & x
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \\
& \tilde{P}_{2}
\end{array}\right) P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & x & x \\
0 & x & x \\
0 & 0 & x
\end{array}\right)=R
\end{gathered}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{T} A & =P_{n} P_{n-1} \ldots P_{1} A=R, \\
Q & =\left(I-\beta_{1} v_{1} v_{1}^{T}\right) \ldots\left(I-\beta_{n-1} v_{n-1} v_{n-1}^{T}\right)\left(I-\beta_{n} v_{n} v_{n}^{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

\#flops $=2 n^{2}(m-n / 3)$

## Error analysis of the QR factorization

The following result follows
Theorem ([N.J.Higham, 2002])
Let $\hat{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be the computed factor of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ obtained by using Householder transformations. Then there is an orthogonal $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ such that

$$
A+\Delta A=Q \hat{R}, \text { where }\left\|\Delta a_{j}\right\|_{2} \leq \tilde{\gamma}_{m n}\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{2}, \quad j=1: n,
$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_{m n}=c m n u /(1-c m n u), c$ is a constant, $u$ is machine precision, $m n u<1, a_{j}$ denotes the $j$-th column of $A$.

## Computational complexity

- Flops per iterations
$\square$ Dot product $w=v_{k}^{\top} A(k: m, k+1: n): 2(m-k)(n-k)$
$\square$ Outer product $v_{k} w:(m-k)(n-k)$
$\square$ Subtraction $A(k: m, k+1: n)-\ldots:(m-k)(n-k)$
- Flops of Householder-QR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n} 4(m-k)(n-k)=4 \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(m n-k(m+n)+k^{2}\right) \\
& \approx 4 m n^{2}-4(m+n) n^{2} / 2+4 n^{3} / 3=2 m n^{2}-2 n^{3} / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algebra of block QR

Storage efficient representation for Q [Schreiber and Loan, 1989]

$$
Q=Q_{1} Q_{2} \ldots Q_{k}=\left(I-\beta_{1} v_{1} v_{1}^{T}\right) \ldots\left(I-\beta_{k} v_{k} v_{k}^{T}\right)=I-Y T Y^{T}
$$

Example for $k=2$

$$
Y=\left(v_{1} \mid v_{2}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta_{1} & -\beta_{1} v_{1}^{T} v_{2} \beta_{2} \\
0 & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example for combining two compact representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =\left(I-Y_{1} T_{1} Y_{1}^{T}\right)\left(I-Y_{2} T_{2} Y_{2}^{T}\right) \\
T & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1} & -T_{1} Y_{1}^{T} Y_{2} T_{2} \\
0 & T_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Block algorithm for computing the QR factorization

Partitioning of matrix $A$ of size $m \times n$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A_{11}$ is of size $b \times b, A_{21}$ is of size $(m-b) \times b, A_{12}$ is of size $b \times(n-b)$ and $A_{22}$ is of size $(m-b) \times(n-b)$.

Block QR algebra
The first step of the block QR factorization algorithm computes:

$$
Q_{1}^{T} A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{11} & R_{12} \\
& A^{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The algorithm continues recursively on the trailing matrix $A^{1}$.

## Algebra of block QR factorization

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)=Q_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{11} & R_{12} \\
& A^{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Block QR algebra

1. Compute the factorization

$$
\binom{A_{11}}{A_{12}}=Q_{1} R_{11}
$$

2. Compute the compact representation $Q_{1}=I-Y T Y^{\top}$
3. Apply $Q_{1}^{T}$ on the trailing matrix

$$
\left(I-Y T^{T} Y^{T}\right)\binom{A_{12}}{A_{22}}=\binom{A_{12}}{A_{22}}-Y\left(T^{T}\left(Y^{T}\binom{A_{12}}{A_{22}}\right)\right)
$$

4. The algorithm continues recursively on the trailing matrix $A^{1}$.

## Parallel implementation of the QR factorization

QR factorization on a $P=P_{r} \times P_{c}$ grid of processors
For $\mathrm{ib}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}-1$ step $b$

1. Compute panel factorization on $P_{r}$ processors

$$
\binom{A_{11}}{A_{12}}=Q_{1} R_{11}=\left(I-Y T Y^{T}\right) R_{11}
$$

2. The $P_{r}$ processors broadcast along the rows their parts of $Y$ and $T$
3. Apply $Q_{1}^{T}$ on the trailing matrix:
$\square$ All processors compute their local part of

$$
W_{l}=Y_{l}^{T}\left(A_{12 l} ; A_{22 l}\right)
$$

$\square$ The processors owning block row ib compute the sum over $W_{1}$, that is

$$
W=Y^{T}\left(A_{12} ; A_{22}\right)
$$

and then compute $W^{\prime}=T^{T} W$
$\square$ The processors owning block row ib broadcast along the columns their part of $W^{\prime}$
4. All processors compute

$$
\left(A_{12}^{1} ; A_{22}^{1}\right)=\left(A_{12} ; A_{22}\right)-Y * W^{\prime}
$$

## Cost of parallel QR factorization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \cdot\left(\frac{6 m n b-3 n^{2} b}{2 p_{r}}+\frac{n^{2} b}{2 p_{c}}+\frac{2 m n^{2}-2 n^{3} / 3}{p}\right) \\
+ & \beta \cdot\left(n b \log p_{r}+\frac{2 m n-n^{2}}{p_{r}}+\frac{n^{2}}{p_{c}}\right) \\
+ & \alpha \cdot\left(2 n \log p_{r}+\frac{2 n}{b} \log p_{c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solving least squares problems

Given matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \operatorname{rank}(A)=n$, vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$, the unique solution to $\min _{x}\|A x-b\|_{2}$ is

$$
x=A^{+} b, \quad A^{+}=\left(A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A^{T}
$$

Using the QR factorization of $A$

$$
A=Q R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2} \tag{3}
\end{array}\right)\binom{R_{1}}{0}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|r\|_{2}^{2} & =\|b-A x\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|b-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{R_{1}}{0} x\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left\|\binom{Q_{1}^{T}}{Q_{2}^{T}} b-\binom{R_{1}}{0} x\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|\binom{Q_{1}^{T} b-R_{1} x}{Q_{2}^{T} b}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left\|Q_{1}^{T} b-R_{1} x\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|Q_{2}^{T} b\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve $R_{1} x=Q_{1}^{T} b$ to minimize $\|r\|_{2}$.
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