

On the inverse Cauchy problem for linear ordinary differential equations

Maya Chartouny^{1,*}, Thomas Cluzeau^{2,**}, and Alban Quadrat^{1,***}

¹ Inria Paris, Ouragan project, IMJ – PRG, Sorbonne University, France

² CNRS ; XLIM UMR 7252, 87060 Limoges Cedex, France

The Cauchy problem characterizes the solutions of a linear ordinary differential equation that satisfies initial conditions. In this paper, we investigate the converse problem, namely, given a function that is known to satisfy a linear ordinary differential equation of a fixed order, determine the coefficients of the ordinary differential equation and the initial conditions. The techniques used to investigate the inverse Cauchy problem come from the algebraic estimation problem introduced by Fliess and Sira-Ramírez. From the perfect observation of the solution, i.e., without external perturbation and noise corrupting it, the initial value problem can be explicitly reconstructed using only iterative indefinite integrals of the solution.

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

1 Introduction

In 2003, Fliess and Sira-Ramírez proposed a new approach to parameter estimation [Fliess et al. (2003)]. This problem aims at estimating unknown constant parameters of a signal or a control system from observation which can be corrupted by perturbation and noise. Within this framework, a signal $x(\theta, t)$ is known to follow a certain linear ordinary differential equation (ODE) with unknown initial conditions. The problem aims at estimating the constant parameter system θ and the initial conditions by means of a corrupted observation $y(t) = x(\theta, t) + \gamma(t) + \varpi(t)$ of $x(\theta, t)$ and iterative indefinite integrals of $y(t)$, where γ denotes here a structured perturbation that follows a known dynamics and ϖ a noise. This approach has two main interests: the iterative integrals naturally filter the noise and non-asymptotical real-time schemes can be obtained for estimating θ [Fliess et al. (2003)]. An effective study of the algebraic estimation problem has been initiated in [Quadrat (2017)].

It is natural to ask whether or not the system parameter θ can always be estimated in the exact case, i.e., when $\gamma = 0$ and $\varpi = 0$. Indeed, if it is not possible, then the algebraic parameter estimation cannot be solved. Hence, in this paper, we ask whether or not the coefficients of a linear ODE with polynomial coefficients and the initial conditions of the Cauchy problem can be exactly recovered from the knowledge of a “generic solution” and of its iterative indefinite integrals. In other words, in this paper, we aim at explicitly study the inverse Cauchy problem for linear ODE with polynomial coefficients.

2 Explicit estimation of the initial conditions

Let us suppose that x satisfies the following ODE

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(t) x^{(i)}(t) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where the a_i 's are polynomials in t with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} , i.e., $a_i(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i} a_{ij}t^j$ and $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let set $m := \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} d_i$.

In this section, we suppose that the coefficients a_{ij} are known and we study the possibility to recover the initial conditions of the Cauchy problem for (1), i.e., the values $x^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. In Section 3, we will show how the coefficients a_{ij} can be explicitly determined from x and iterative indefinite integrals $\int_0^t \dots \int_0^t x(t) dt \dots dt$ of x . To do that, we use the framework of the algebraic estimation problem [Fliess et al. (2003)]. Let \mathcal{L} denote the *Laplace transform*, namely

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-st} f(t) dt,$$

where s is a complex number defined in a strip of \mathbb{C} . We recall that the Laplace transform satisfies the standard identities:

- $\mathcal{L}(f^{(n)})(s) = s^n \mathcal{L}(f)(s) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s^{n-i-1} f^{(i)}(0)$,
- $\mathcal{L}(t^n f)(s) = (-1)^n \partial_s^n (\mathcal{L}(f)(s))$, where $\partial_s^n g(s) := \frac{d^n g(s)}{ds^n}$ denotes the n^{th} derivative of g with respect to s .

* mayachartouny@hotmail.com

** thomas.cluzeau@unilim.fr

*** Corresponding author: alban.quadrat@inria.fr

Hence, with the notation $\widehat{x} = \mathcal{L}(x)$, using (1), we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (a_i(-\partial_s) s^i) \widehat{x}(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=k+1}^n (a_i(-\partial_s) s^{i-k-1}) x^{(k)}(0) = 0.$$

For more details, see [Quadrat (2017)]. Let us note:

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(-\partial_s) s^i, \quad S_k = - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i(-\partial_s) s^{i-k-1}, \quad \vartheta_k = x^{(k)}(0), \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad Q = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k \vartheta_k. \quad (2)$$

Notice that P is a OD operator in ∂_s with polynomial coefficients in s , i.e., is an element of the *first Weyl algebra* $A_1(\mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{Q}[s]\langle \partial_s \mid \partial_s s = s \partial_s + 1 \rangle$ (see, e.g., [Coutinho (1995)]), which applies to the function $\widehat{x}(s)$, and in the term $S_k, a_i(-\partial_s) \in A_1(\mathbb{Q})$ applies to s^{i-k-1} , which shows that $S_k \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$ and $Q \in \mathbb{Q}[s, \vartheta_0, \dots, \vartheta_{n-1}]$. The above identity becomes

$$P(s, \partial_s) \widehat{x}(s) + Q(s, \vartheta) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where we have explicitly expressed the dependence of P and Q . Let us study when the ϑ_k 's can be explicitly characterized. Now, if we note $\Theta := (\vartheta_0 \dots \vartheta_{n-1})^T$, then (3) can be rewritten as:

$$(S_0 \dots S_{n-1}) \Theta = -P \widehat{x}(s). \quad (4)$$

If we denote by $v_0(a_i)$ the *valuation* of $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ at $t = 0$, i.e., the maximal power of t which divides a_i , then we have:

$$\deg(S_k) = \max_{i=k+1, \dots, n} \{i - v_0(a_i) - k - 1\}.$$

Example 2.1 If $t = 0$ is a *singular point* for (1), i.e., $a_{n0} = 0$, then we have $a_n(t) = p(t)t$ for a certain polynomial p , and thus, $S_{n-1} = -a_n(-\partial_s) s^0 = p(-\partial_s) \partial_s 1 = 0$ and $Q = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} S_k \vartheta_k$. Hence, $\vartheta_{n-1} = x^{(n-1)}(0)$ cannot be estimated. Similarly, if $v_0(a_n) \geq 2$ and $v_0(a_{n-1}) \geq 1$ (e.g., $t^2 x^{(2)}(t) + t x^{(1)}(t) + x(t) = 0$), then $S_{n-1} = 0$ and $S_{n-2} = -(a_n(-\partial_s) s + a_{n-1}(-\partial) 1) = 0$, which shows that both ϑ_{n-1} and ϑ_{n-2} cannot be estimated.

In what follows, we shall suppose that $v_0(a_n) = 0$, i.e., $a_{n0} \neq 0$. Hence, $t = 0$ is a *regular point* of (1), and thus, it makes sense to consider the Cauchy problem for (1) at $t = 0$. Then, S_k has degree $n - k - 1$ in s for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$.

If we differentiate $n - 1$ times (4) with respect to s , we get $S \Theta = -(P \partial_s P \dots \partial_s^{n-1} P)^T \widehat{x}(s)$, where S is defined by:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 & \cdots & S_{n-1} \\ S'_0 & \cdots & S'_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ S_0^{(n-1)} & \cdots & S_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 & S_1 & S_2 & \cdots & -a_{n0} \\ S'_0 & S'_1 & S'_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ S_0^{(n-2)} & -(n-2)! a_{n0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -(n-1)! a_{n0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The matrix S is “anti-triangular” and since $a_{n0} \neq 0$, we then get:

$$\det(S) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} a_{n0}^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} k! \neq 0 \implies \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \vartheta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = -S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ \partial_s P \\ \vdots \\ \partial_s^{n-1} P \end{pmatrix} \widehat{x}(s). \quad (6)$$

Hence, all the ϑ_i 's can be explicitly expressed in terms of derivatives of $\widehat{x}(s)$ and of the a_i 's.

Let R denote the submatrix of S obtained by deleting the last column and the last row of S . Moreover, let $\text{LC}(p, x_i)$ denote the *leading coefficient* of a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with respect to the variable x_i . The inverse $(T_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ of an upper anti-triangular matrix $S = (S_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ can then be explicitly characterized as follows:

$$T_{i,j} = \begin{cases} T_{i,j} = 0, & i + j < n + 1, \\ \frac{N_{i,j}}{D_{i,j}}, & i + j \geq n + 1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} D_{i,j} = \prod_{n+1-j \leq k \leq i} S_{n+1-k,k}, \\ N_{n,n} = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \det(R), \\ N_{n,j} = -\text{LC}(N_{n,j+1}, S_{j,n-j}), \quad j = n-1, \dots, 1, \\ N_{i,j} = -\text{LC}(N_{i+1,j}, S_{n-i,i}), \quad i = n-1, \dots, 1, \quad j = n, \dots, 1. \end{cases}$$

We can use the above result to compute the inverse of the matrix S defined by (5), i.e., with $S_{i,j} = S_{j-1}^{(i-1)}$ where the S_j 's are the polynomials defined by (2). Using (6), we get:

$$\vartheta_i = - \sum_{j=1}^n T_{i,j} \partial_s^{j-1} P(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s), \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (7)$$

Note that $\deg_s \partial_s^l P = \deg_s P = n$. Moreover, using $\deg_s S_k = n - k - 1$, we get $\deg_s S_{i,j} = n + 1 - i - j$ for $i + j \leq n + 1$ and $S_{i,j} = 0$ for $i + j > n + 1$. Hence, $\deg_s S_{i,j}$ is constant on each parallel of the anti-diagonal $n + 1 - i - j = 0$ and thus $\deg_s T_{ij} = i + j - n - 1$ for $i + j \geq n + 1$. Using (7), we get $\deg_s \vartheta_i = \max_{j=1, \dots, n} \{i + 1 + j - n - 1\} + n = n + i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. To get explicit expressions of the ϑ_i 's in terms of $x(t)$ and its iterative indefinite integrals, we rewrite the right hand side of (7), simply denoted by r_i , as the quotient of $n_i := r_i/s^{n+i+1}$ by $d_i := 1/s^{n+i+1}$, and then apply the inverse Laplace transform \mathcal{L}^{-1} to get $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(n_i)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(d_i)$. Since ϑ_i is a constant and \mathcal{L}^{-1} is a linear transformation, we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\vartheta_i d_i) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(n_i) \implies \vartheta_i = \frac{\mathcal{L}^{-1}(n_i)}{\mathcal{L}^{-1}(d_i)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1}(d_i) = \frac{t^{n+i}}{(n+i)!}.$$

Finally, note that the term in the inverse Laplace transform of the right hand side of the following equation

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}(n_i) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{T_{i,j}}{s^{n+i+1}} \partial_s^{j-1} P(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s) \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

is a *strictly proper rational function* in s , namely, the degree in s of its numerator is strictly less than the degree of its denominator. Hence, using the normal forms of OD operators, we have:

$$\frac{T_{i,j}}{s^{n+i+1}} \partial_s^{j-1} P(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n+i+1, 0 \leq l \leq m+j-1} \frac{c_{kl}}{s^k} \partial_s^l \hat{x}(s), \quad c_{kl} \in \mathbb{Q}[a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n}.$$

Using $\mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^t y(\tau) d\tau \right) (s) = s^{-1} \hat{y}(s)$, the inverse Laplace transform of the above right hand side shows that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(n_i)$ is a finite sum of iterative indefinite integrals of terms of the form $(-t)^l x(t)$ – which can also be expressed as a *convolution*.

Example 2.2 Let $x = H_k$ be the k^{th} Hermite polynomial satisfying $\ddot{x}(t) - 2t \dot{x}(t) + 2kx(t) = 0$. Then, we have:

$$\vartheta_0 = x(0), \quad \vartheta_1 = x'(0), \quad P = 2s \partial_s + s^2 + 2k + 2, \quad Q = -s \vartheta_0 - \vartheta_1.$$

Hence, we get $(-s \quad -1) (\vartheta_0 \quad \vartheta_1)^T = -P(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s)$. Since $n = 2$, we have to differentiate once the last equation to get:

$$S \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0 \\ \vartheta_1 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} P \\ \partial_s P \end{pmatrix} \hat{x}(s) = - \begin{pmatrix} 2s \partial_s + s^2 + 2k + 2 \\ \partial_s (2s \partial_s + s^2 + 2k + 2) \end{pmatrix} \hat{x}(s), \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} -s & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0 \\ \vartheta_1 \end{pmatrix} = -S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ \partial_s P \end{pmatrix} \hat{x}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 2s \frac{d^2 \hat{x}(s)}{ds^2} + (s^2 + 2(k+2)s) \frac{d \hat{x}(s)}{ds} + 2s \hat{x}(s) \\ -2s^2 \frac{d^2 \hat{x}(s)}{ds^2} + (-s^3 - 2(k+1)s) \frac{d \hat{x}(s)}{ds} + (-s^2 + 2(k+1)) \hat{x}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\deg_s \vartheta_0 = 2$, with the above notations, we have $\vartheta_0 = n_0/d_0$, where:

$$n_0 = \frac{2}{s^2} \frac{d^2 \hat{x}(s)}{ds^2} + \left(\frac{1}{s} + 2(k+2) \frac{1}{s^2} \right) \frac{d \hat{x}(s)}{ds} + \frac{2}{s^2} \hat{x}(s), \quad d_0 = \frac{1}{s^3}.$$

Hence, applying the inverse Laplace transform, we get $\vartheta_0 = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(n_0)/\mathcal{L}^{-1}(d_0)$, where $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(d_0) = t^2/2$ and:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}(n_0) = - \int_0^t \tau x(\tau) d\tau + 2 \int_0^t (t-\tau) \tau^2 x(\tau) d\tau + 2 \int_0^t (t-\tau) x(\tau) d\tau - (2+k) \int_0^t (t-\tau)^2 \tau x(\tau) d\tau.$$

Finally, we can do similarly for the computation of an explicit closed-form for ϑ_1 .

3 Explicit estimation of the coefficients of the ordinary differential equation

In Section 2, we showed that the initial conditions $\vartheta_i := x^{(i)}(0)$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, of a Cauchy problem could be explicitly obtained by iterative indefinite integrals of $x(t)$. The closed-forms for the ϑ_i 's obtained in Section 2 depend on the constant parameters a_{ij} of the ODE. We now study how the parameters a_{ij} can be estimated by iterative indefinite integrals of $x(t)$.

In the frequency domain, we recall that the ODE defined by (1) is equivalently defined by (2), i.e., by (4). In Section 2, we differentiated $(n-1)$ th times (4) to get $S\Theta = -(P\partial_s P \dots \partial_s^{n-1} P)^T \hat{x}(s)$, from which we could solve for the ϑ_i 's. From the last row of S , we can easily check that the equations $\partial_s^l P(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s) = 0$ for $l \geq n$ do not depend on the ϑ_i 's. More precisely, we have

$$\forall l \geq n, \quad (-\partial_s)^l P(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s) = (-\partial_s)^l \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq m} a_{ij} (-\partial_s)^j s^i \hat{x}(s) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq m} (-\partial_s)^{l+j} s^i (\hat{x}(s) a_{ij}) = 0,$$

and $(-\partial_s)^j s^i = (-1)^j \partial_s^j s^i = (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \gamma_i(k) s^{i-k} \partial_s^{j-k}$, where $\gamma_i(k) = i!/(i-k)!$ for $0 \leq k \leq i$ and 0 else. Hence, if we set $a := (a_{00} \ a_{01} \ \dots \ a_{ij} \ \dots \ a_{n(m-1)} \ a_{nm})^T$, for $l \geq n$, we get the following system of equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (-\partial_s)^n & (-\partial_s)^{n+1} & \dots & (-\partial_s)^{n+j} s^i & \dots & (-\partial_s)^{n+m-1} s^n & (-\partial_s)^{n+m} s^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (-\partial_s)^l & (-\partial_s)^{l+1} & \dots & (-\partial_s)^{l+j} s^i & \dots & (-\partial_s)^{l+m-1} s^n & (-\partial_s)^{l+m} s^n \end{pmatrix} \hat{x}(s) a = 0. \quad (8)$$

Let us suppose that $a_{nm} \neq 0$, i.e., the degree of a_n is fixed. Set $p := nm - 1$ the number of unknown a_{ij} and $l := n + p$. Let us denote by C the $p \times p$ matrix defined considering all but the last column of the first matrix of (8), c the last column of the first matrix of (8), and b the column vector of size p formed by all but the last entry of the vector a . Hence, (8) is equivalent to $(C(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s)) b = -c(s, \partial_s) a_{nm} \hat{x}(s)$. Hence, if $C(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s)$ is invertible, i.e., $\det(C(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s)) \neq 0$, then we get:

$$b = -a_{nm} (C(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s))^{-1} c(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s). \quad (9)$$

Thus, a_{ij} can be written as a fraction n_{ij}/d_{ij} , where n_{ij} and d_{ij} are polynomials in s and some derivatives of $\hat{x}(s)$. Now, setting $q_{ij} := \max\{\deg_s n_{ij}, \deg_s d_{ij}\} + 1$ and defining $n'_{ij} := n_{ij}/s^{q_{ij}}$ and $d'_{ij} := d_{ij}/s^{q_{ij}}$, we obtain two polynomials in s^{-1} and some derivatives of $\hat{x}(s)$. Applying the inverse Laplace transform to n'_{ij} and d'_{ij} , and using the fact that the inverse Laplace transform maps a product to a *convolution*, i.e., $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\hat{f}(s)\hat{g}(s)) = f \star g$, where $(f \star g)(t) := \int_0^t f(t-\tau)g(\tau) d\tau$, the a_{ij} 's are then ratios of sums of iterative indefinite integrals of convolutions of terms of the form $(-t)^\alpha x(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(\partial_s^\alpha \hat{x}(s))$, i.e., are ratios of two convolutions depending only on $x(t)$. The last point to investigate is when $\det(C(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s)) = 0$. If so, then there exists a non-zero constant vector $d := (d_{00} \ d_{01} \ \dots \ d_p)^T$ such that $C(s, \partial_s) \hat{x}(s) d = 0$, and thus, we get:

$$(-\partial_s)^k \sum_{(i,j) \in \llbracket 0, \dots, n \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0, \dots, m \rrbracket \setminus \{(n,m)\}} d_{ij} (-\partial_s)^j s^i \hat{x}(s) = 0, \quad k = n, \dots, l.$$

Using the inverse Laplace transform, the fact that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(s^i \hat{x}(s)) = x^{(i)}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \delta_0^{(i-k-1)} x^{(k)}(0)$, where $\delta_0^{(e)}$ denotes the e th derivative of the Dirac distribution at $t = 0$, and $t^k \delta_0^{(e)} = 0$ for $k > e$, we obtain that x then satisfies the following EDO:

$$t^n \sum_{(i,j) \in \llbracket 0, \dots, n \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0, \dots, m \rrbracket \setminus \{(n,m)\}} d_{ij} t^j x^{(i)}(t) = 0.$$

Hence, (9) holds if x is a *generic* solution of (1), namely, a function which does not satisfy a lower order / degree EDO.

Example 3.1 If we consider $n = 2$ and $m = 1$, i.e., $(a_{21}t + a_{20})x^{(2)}(t) + (a_{11}t + a_{10})x^{(1)}(t) + (a_{01}t + a_{00})x(t) = 0$, $a_{21} \neq 0$, then all the parameters a_{ij} cannot be estimated if we take $x(t) = A \sin(t)$ in (9) since it satisfies $x^{(2)}(t) + x(t) = 0$, and thus, $t^2(x^{(2)}(t) + x(t)) = 0$, which is of the form $t^2(d_{20}x^{(2)}(t) + (d_{11}t + d_{10})x^{(1)}(t) + (d_{01}t + d_{00})x(t)) = 0$.

Example 3.2 If we consider again Example 2.2, using similar techniques, we can show that:

$$k = \frac{-\int_0^t \tau^2 x(\tau) d\tau + 4 \int_0^t (t-\tau) \tau x(\tau) d\tau + 2 \int_0^t (t-\tau) \tau^3 x(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^t (t-\tau)^2 x(\tau) d\tau - 3 \int_0^t (t-\tau)^2 \tau^2 x(\tau) d\tau}{\int_0^t (t-\tau)^2 \tau^2 x(\tau) d\tau}.$$

References

- [Coutinho (1995)] S. C. Coutinho, A Primer of Algebraic D-Modules, Cambridge University Press (1995).
[Fliess et al. (2003)] M. Fliess, and H. Sira-Ramírez, An algebraic framework for linear identification ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Variat., **9**, 151–168 (2003).
[Quadrat (2017)] A. Quadrat, Towards an effective study of the algebraic parameter estimation problem, Proceedings IFAC 2017 Workshop Congress, (Toulouse, 2017). NONA: A symbolic package for the algebraic parameter estimation problem, <https://who.rocq.inria.fr/Alban.Quadrat/Non-A.html>.