

```

> restart:
> with(OreModules):
> with(OreMorphisms);
> with(linalg):

```

Let us consider the model of a fluid in a tank satisfying Saint-Venant's equations and subjected to a one-dimensional horizontal move studied in F. Dubois, N. Petit, P. Rouchon, "Motion planning and nonlinear simulations for a tank containing a fluid", in the proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> *European Control Conference*, Karlsruhe (Germany), 1999, and defined by the following system matrix:

```

> A:=DefineOreAlgebra(diff=[d,t],dual_shift=[delta,s],polynom=[s,t]):
> R:=matrix(2,3,[delta^2,1,-2*d*delta,1,delta^2,-2*d*delta]);

```

$$R := \begin{bmatrix} \delta^2 & 1 & -2d\delta \\ 1 & \delta^2 & -2d\delta \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us compute the endomorphism ring  $E = \text{end}_A(M)$  of the  $A$ -module  $M = A^{1 \times 3}/(A^{1 \times 2}R)$ , where  $A = \mathbb{Q}[d, \delta]$  is the commutative polynomial ring of differential time-delay operators:

```

> Endo:=MorphismsConstCoeff(R,R,A,mult_table):

```

The  $A$ -module  $E$  is generated by the  $f_i$ 's defined by  $f_i(\pi(\lambda)) = \pi(\lambda P_i)$ , where  $\pi: A^{1 \times 3} \rightarrow M$  denotes the projection onto  $M$ ,  $\lambda \in A^{1 \times 3}$  and the matrix  $P_i \in A^{3 \times 3}$  is one of the following matrices:

```

> Endo[1];

```

$$\left[ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2d\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 2d\delta \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2d & -2d & 0 \\ \delta & -\delta & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

The generators  $\{f_i\}_{i=1,\dots,4}$  of the  $A$ -module  $E$  satisfy the relations  $\text{Endo}[2]F = 0$ , with the notation  $F = (f_1 \dots f_4)^T$ , and  $\text{Endo}[2]$  is the matrix defined by:

```

> Endo[2];

```

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \delta^2 \\ -1 & \delta^2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^2 - 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The multiplication table  $T$  of the generators  $\{f_i\}_{i=1,\dots,4}$  is defined by  $F \otimes F = T F$ , where  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product, namely,  $F \otimes F = ((f_1 \circ F)^T \dots (f_4 \circ F)^T)^T$ , and  $T$  is the matrix  $\text{Endo}[3]$  without the first column which corresponds to the indices  $(i, j)$  of the product  $f_i \circ f_j$ :

```

> Endo[3];

```

$$\begin{bmatrix} [1,1] & \delta^2 + 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ [1,2] & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ [1,3] & 0 & 2d & 2 & -2d \\ [1,4] & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ [2,1] & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ [2,2] & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ [2,3] & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ [2,4] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ [3,1] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ [3,2] & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ [3,3] & 0 & 0 & -2d & 0 \\ [3,4] & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ [4,1] & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ [4,2] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ [4,3] & 0 & 2d & 1 & -2d \\ [4,4] & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us compute idempotents of  $E$ , namely, elements  $e \in E$  satisfying  $e^2 = e$ :

> `Idem:=IdempotentsConstCoeff(R,Endo[1],A,0);`

$$Idem := \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right],$$

`[Ore_algebra, ["diff", dual_shift], [t, s], [d, delta], [s, t], [], 0, [], [], [t, s], [], []],  
[diff = [d, t], dual_shift = [delta, s]]]`

We obtain the two trivial idempotents  $0$  and  $\text{id}_M$  of  $E$  but also two other non-trivial idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  satisfying the relation  $e + f = \text{id}_M$ . Let us consider the first non-trivial idempotent  $e$  of  $E$  defined by  $e(\pi(\lambda)) = \pi(\lambda P)$ , for all  $\lambda \in A^{3 \times 3}$ , where  $P$  is the third matrix of  $Idem[1]$  and  $Q \in A^{2 \times 2}$  is a matrix satisfying  $RP = QR$ :

> `P:=Idem[1,3]; Q:=Factorize(Mult(R,P,A),R,A);`

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad Q := \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

As the entries of the matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  belong to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we can compute their Jordan normal forms:

> `J[1]:=jordan(P,'W'); evalm(W);`

$$J_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

> `J[2]:=jordan(Q,'Z'); evalm(Z);`

$$J_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, we have  $J_1 = W^{-1}PW$  and  $J_2 = Z^{-1}QZ$ , and thus, the matrix  $R$  is equivalent to the block-matrix  $Z^{-1}RW$  defined by:

> `R_dec:=simplify(Mult(inverse(Z),R,W,A));`

$$R_{dec} := \begin{bmatrix} \delta^2 - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \delta^2 - 4d\delta & -4d\delta \end{bmatrix}$$

We can simplify the previous matrix by post-multiplying it by the following unimodular matrix

> `Y:=evalm(diag(1,evalm([[1,0],[-1,1]])));`

$$Y := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in order to obtain the following simple block-diagonal matrix:

> `R_final:=Mult(R_dec,Y,A);`

$$R_{final} := \begin{bmatrix} \delta^2 - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^2 + 1 & -4d\delta \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, we obtain that the  $A$ -module can be decomposed as  $M \cong M_1 \oplus M_2$ , with the notations  $M_1 = A/(A(\delta^2 - 1))$  and  $M_2 = A^{1 \times 2}/(A(\delta^2 + 1 \quad -4d\delta))$ . Hence, if  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes an  $A$ -module (e.g.,  $\mathcal{F} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ), then we have  $\ker_{\mathcal{F}}(R) \cong \ker_{\mathcal{F}}((\delta^2 - 1)) \oplus \ker_{\mathcal{F}}((\delta^2 + 1 \quad -4d\delta))$ . We note that  $\ker_{\mathcal{F}}((\delta^2 - 1))$  is formed by the 2-periodic functions of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let us study the  $A$ -module structure  $A^{1 \times 4}/(A^{1 \times 3} \text{Endo}[2])$  of the endomorphism ring  $E$ :

> `ext1:=Exti(Involution(Endo[2],A),A,1);`

$$ext1 := \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \delta^2 - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^2 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \delta^2 + 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \delta^2 + 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

Hence, we obtain that the following torsion elements of  $E$

$$\begin{cases} t_1 = -f_1 + (\delta^2 + 1)f_4, \\ t_2 = f_2 - f_4, \\ t_3 = f_3, \end{cases} \quad (\delta^2 - 1)t_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

generate the  $A$ -module  $t(E)$ . Moreover, we have  $E/t(E) = A^{1 \times 4}/(A^{1 \times 3} \text{ext1}[2]) \cong A^{1 \times 4} \text{ext1}[3]$ , where  $\text{ext1}[2]$  (resp.,  $\text{ext1}[3]$ ) denotes the second (resp., third) matrix of  $\text{ext1}$ . As the matrix  $\text{ext1}[3]$  admits the following left-inverse over  $A$

> `LeftInverse(ext1[3],A);`

$$[ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 ]$$

the  $A$ -module  $E/t(E)$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank 1. The short exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow t(E) \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\rho} E/t(E) \longrightarrow 0,$$

ending with a projective  $A$ -module, splits, a fact implying:

$$E \cong t(E) \oplus E/t(E) \cong t(E) \oplus A.$$

Let us now study the  $A$ -module  $t(E) = (A^{1 \times 4} \text{ext1}[2]) / (A^{1 \times 2} \text{Endo}[2])$ :

> `L:=Factorize(Endo[2],ext1[2],A);`

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \delta^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

> `SyzygyModule(ext1[2],A);`

*INJ* (3)

By Lemma 3.1 of T. Cluzeau, A. Quadrat, "Factoring and decomposing a class of linear functional systems", *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 428 (2008), 324-381, we obtain

$$t(E) \cong A^{1 \times 3} / (A^{1 \times 3} L) \cong A^{1 \times 2} / (A^{1 \times 2} Q) \oplus A / (A(\delta^2 - 1)),$$

where the matrix  $Q \in A^{2 \times 2}$  is defined by:

> `Q:=submatrix(L,1..2,1..2);`

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \delta^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix  $Q$  admits an equivalent diagonal matrix which can be computed as follows:

> `Endo_Q:=MorphismsConstCoeff(Q,Q,A);`

> `Idem_Q:=IdempotentsMatConstCoeff(Q,Endo_Q[1],A,0,alpha);`

$$\text{Idem\_Q} := \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right], [\text{Ore\_algebra}, ["diff", dual\_shift], \\ [t, s], [d, \delta], [s, t], [], 0, [], [], [t, s], [], [], [diff = [d, t], dual\_shift = [\delta, s]]]$$

> `F:=Idem_Q[1,1];`

$$F := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

> `HeuristicDecomposition(Q,F,A)[1];`

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \delta^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, we obtain that  $A^{1 \times 2} / (A^{1 \times 2} Q) \cong A / (A1) \oplus A / (A(\delta^2 - 1)) \cong A / (A(\delta^2 - 1))$ , a fact finally proving that the  $A$ -module  $E$  satisfies

$$E \cong [A / (A(\delta^2 - 1))]^2 \oplus A,$$

where  $N^l$  denotes  $l$  direct sums of the  $A$ -module  $N$ .

Let us explicitly describe the previous isomorphism. In order to do that, let us first compute a generalized inverse of the matrix  $\text{ext1}[2]$  over  $A$ :

> `U:=GeneralizedInverse(ext1[2],A);`

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us now introduce the matrix  $V = I_4 - U \text{ext1}[2]$ :

>  $V := \text{simplify}(\text{evalm}(1 - \text{Mult}(U, \text{ext1}[2], A)));$

$$V := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta^2 + 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the fact that  $\text{ext1}[2]V = 0$ , we obtain that the  $A$ -morphism  $\sigma : E/t(E) \rightarrow E$  defined by  $\sigma(\pi'(\lambda)) = \pi(\lambda V)$ , where  $\pi : A^{1 \times 4} \rightarrow E$  (resp.,  $\pi' : A^{1 \times 4} \rightarrow E/t(E)$ ) denotes the canonical projection onto  $E$  (resp.,  $E/t(E)$ ) and  $\lambda \in A^{1 \times 4}$ , satisfies  $\rho \circ \sigma = \text{id}_{E/t(E)}$ . For more details, see Theorem 4 of A. Quadrat, D. Robertz, "Parametrizing all solutions of uncontrollable multidimensional linear systems", *Proceedings of 16<sup>th</sup> IFAC World Congress*, Prague (Czech Republic), 04-08/07/05. If we denote by  $\{g_i = \rho(f_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, 4}$  a set of generators of the  $A$ -module  $E/t(E)$ , then the  $A$ -morphism  $\sigma : E/t(E) \rightarrow E$  is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(g_1) = (\delta^2 + 1)f_4, \\ \sigma(g_2) = f_4, \\ \sigma(g_3) = 0, \\ \sigma(g_4) = f_4. \end{cases}$$

Using the relations  $\text{Endo}[2]F = 0$  between the generators  $f_i$ 's of the  $A$ -module  $E$ , we obtain that the  $A$ -morphism  $\chi : \text{id}_E - \sigma \circ \rho : E \rightarrow E$  is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} \chi(f_1) = f_1 - (\delta^2 + 1)f_4 = -t_1 = t_2, \\ \chi(f_2) = f_2 - f_4 = t_2, \\ \chi(f_3) = f_3 = t_3, \\ \chi(f_4) = f_4 - f_4 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, if we define the  $A$ -morphism  $\kappa : E \rightarrow t(E)$  by

$$\begin{cases} \kappa(f_1) = t_2, \\ \kappa(f_2) = t_2, \\ \kappa(f_3) = t_3, \\ \kappa(f_4) = 0, \end{cases}$$

then we get that  $\text{id}_E = \sigma \circ \rho + \iota \circ \kappa$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = t_2 + (\delta^2 + 1)f_4, \\ f_2 = t_2 + f_4, \\ f_3 = t_3, \\ f_4 = f_4, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

which shows that the generators  $f_i$ 's of  $E$  can be expressed in terms of the elements  $t_2 = f_2 - f_4 = -t_1$ ,  $t_3 = f_3$  and  $f_4$ , a fact proving that  $\{t_2, t_3, f_4\}$  is also a family of generators of the  $A$ -module  $E$ . Using the multiplication table  $\text{Endo}[3]$  and (1), we can easily obtain the following multiplication table for the

new family of generators  $\{t_2, t_3, f_4\}$  of  $E$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} t_2 \circ t_2 = 2t_2, \\ t_2 \circ t_3 = -2dt_2, \\ t_2 \circ f_4 = -t_2, \\ t_3 \circ t_2 = 2t_3, \\ t_3 \circ t_3 = -2dt_3, \\ t_3 \circ f_4 = -t_3, \\ f_4 \circ t_2 = -t_2, \\ f_4 \circ t_3 = 2dt_2 + t_3, \\ f_4 \circ f_4 = t_2 + f_4. \end{array} \right.$$

We have previously shown that  $M \cong M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Hence, we have:

$$E = \text{end}_A(M) \cong \text{end}_A(M_1) \oplus \text{hom}_A(M_1, M_2) \oplus \text{hom}_A(M_2, M_1) \oplus \text{end}_A(M_2).$$

Using the fact that  $M_1 = A/(A(\delta^2 - 1))$ , we have  $\text{end}_A(M_1) \cong A/(A(\delta^2 - 1))$ . The fact that  $M_1$  is a torsion  $A$ -module and  $M_2$  is torsion-free implies that  $\text{hom}_A(M_1, M_2) = 0$ . We now need to study  $\text{hom}_A(M_2, M_1)$  and  $\text{end}_A(M_2)$ . Let us denote by  $S = (\delta^2 - 1)$  and  $T = (\delta^2 + 1 \quad -4d\delta)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{S:=submatrix(R\_final,1..1,1..1)}; \\ & \quad S := [ \delta^2 - 1 ] \\ > \text{T:=submatrix(R\_final,2..2,2..3)}; \\ & \quad T := [ \delta^2 + 1 \quad -4d\delta ] \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\text{hom}_A(M_2, M_1)$  is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{Morph:=MorphismsConstCoeff(T,S,A)}; \\ & \quad \text{Morph} := \left[ \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2d \\ \delta \end{array} \right], \left[ \delta^2 - 1 \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\text{hom}_A(M_2, M_1)$  is defined by only one generator  $h$  which satisfies  $(\delta^2 - 1)h = 0$ , i.e.,  $\text{hom}_A(M_2, M_1) \cong A/(A(\delta^2 - 1))$ .

Finally, let us compute  $\text{end}_A(M_2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{Endo\_T:=MorphismsConstCoeff(T,T,A)}; \\ > \text{Endo\_T[1]}; \\ & \quad \left[ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 4d\delta \\ 0 & \delta^2 + 1 \end{array} \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that the  $A$ -module  $\text{end}_A(M_2)$  is defined by two generators  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  which satisfy the following  $A$ -linear relation:

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{Endo\_T[2]}; \\ & \quad [ \delta^2 + 1 \quad -1 ] \end{aligned}$$

As we have the following relation  $k_2 = (\delta^2 + 1)k_1$ , the  $A$ -module  $\text{end}_A(M_2)$  is generated by  $k_1$  which does not satisfy any other relation. Hence, we get  $\text{end}_A(M_2) \cong A$ . Hence, we finally find again that  $E \cong [A/(A(\delta^2 - 1))]^2 \oplus A$ .