

```
> with(OreModules):
> with(Stafford):
```

We consider the ordinary differential linear system which defines the derivative of the Dirac distribution δ . For more details, see Example 4 of A. Quadrat and D. Robertz, *Constructive computation of bases of free modules over the Weyl algebra*, INRIA Report 5786, 2005. We first introduce the first Weyl algebra $Alg = A_1$ formed by ordinary differential operators with polynomial coefficients.

```
> Alg:=DefineOreAlgebra(diff=[D,t],polynom=[t]):
```

The system is then defined by the following matrix of differential operators

```
> R:=evalm([[t^2],[t*D+2]]);
```

$$R := \begin{bmatrix} t^2 \\ tD + 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e., the derivative y of the Dirac distribution δ satisfies the equations:

```
> ApplyMatrix(R,[y(t)],Alg)=evalm([[0]$2]);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} t^2 y(t) \\ 2y(t) + t(\frac{d}{dt} y(t)) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us compute a finite free resolution of the left Alg -module M defined as the cokernel of R , i.e., $M = Alg/(Alg(t^2) + Alg(tD + 2))$:

```
> F:=FreeResolution(R,Alg);
```

$$F := \text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 \\ tD + 2 \end{bmatrix}, 2 = [D \quad -t], 3 = \text{INJ}(1)])$$

Let us check whether or not we can find a shorter free resolution of M :

```
> G:=ShorterFreeResolution(F,Alg);
```

$$G := \text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & t \\ tD + 2 & D \end{bmatrix}, 2 = \text{INJ}(2)])$$

As the first matrix of G has a trivial syzygy module, we obtain that G is a "minimal free resolution" of M . This last result can be directly obtained by:

```
> MinimalFreeResolution(R,Alg);
```

$$\text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & t \\ tD + 2 & D \end{bmatrix}, 2 = \text{INJ}(2)])$$

Now, we can check whether or not M is a stably free left Alg -module by checking if a right-inverse of $G[1]$ exists:

```
> RightInverse(G[1],Alg);
```

□

Hence, we obtain that M is not a stably free left Alg -module. Another way to check this result is to compute the projective dimension of M .

```
> ProjectiveDimension(R,Alg);
```

1

We obtain again that M is not a stably free left Alg -module. Finally, this result can also be checked by computing:

```
> Ext1:=Exti(Involution(R,Alg),Alg,1);
```

$$Ext1 := \begin{bmatrix} t^2 \\ tD + 2 \end{bmatrix}, [1], \text{SURJ}(1)$$

As the first matrix of $Ext1$ is not the identity matrix, we conclude that M is not a torsion-free Alg -module, and thus, not a stably free Alg -module. As the second matrix is just 1, we obtain that M is a torsion Alg -module.

Finally, we can prove that the left ideal of Alg defined by the two entries of the matrix R is not principal. For more details, see Example 17 of A. Quadrat and D. Robertz, *Constructive computation of bases of free modules over the Weyl algebra*, INRIA Report 5786, 2005.

We now consider the linear system of partial differential equations formed by the infinitesimal transformations of the Lie pseudogroup defining the contact transformations. See Example V. 1. 84 of J.-F. Pommaret, *Partial Differential Control Theory*, Kluwer, 2001, and Example 5 of A. Quadrat and D. Robertz, *Constructive computation of bases of free modules over the Weyl algebra*, INRIA Report 5786, 2005.

We first introduce the Weyl algebra $Alg2 = A_3$ of the partial differential operators in $x1$, $x2$ and $x3$ with polynomial coefficients.

```
> Alg2:=DefineOreAlgebra(diff=[D1,x1],diff=[D2,x2],diff=[D3,x3],polynom=[x1,x2,x3]):
```

The system is defined by the following matrix $R2$ of differential operators:

```
> R2:=evalm([[ (x2/2)*D1, x2*D2+1, x2*D3+D1/2 ], [ -(x2/2)*D2-3/2, 0, D2/2 ],  
> [-D1-(x2/2)*D3, -D2, -D3/2 ]]);
```

$$R2 := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x2 D1}{2} & x2 D2 + 1 & x2 D3 + \frac{D1}{2} \\ -\frac{x2 D2}{2} - \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{D2}{2} \\ -D1 - \frac{x2 D3}{2} & -D2 & -\frac{D3}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

In other words, we consider the system of partial differential equations defined by:

```
> x:=x1,x2,x3:  
> ApplyMatrix(R2,[eta[1](x),eta[2](x),eta[3](x)],Alg2)=evalm([[0]$3]);
```

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{1}{2} x2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x1} \eta_1(x1, x2, x3) \right) + \eta_2(x1, x2, x3) + x2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x2} \eta_2(x1, x2, x3) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x1} \eta_3(x1, x2, x3) \right) + x2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x3} \eta_3(x1, x2, x3) \right) \right] \\ & \left[-\frac{3}{2} \eta_1(x1, x2, x3) - \frac{1}{2} x2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x2} \eta_1(x1, x2, x3) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x2} \eta_3(x1, x2, x3) \right) \right] \\ & \left[-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x1} \eta_1(x1, x2, x3) \right) - \frac{1}{2} x2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x3} \eta_1(x1, x2, x3) \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x2} \eta_2(x1, x2, x3) \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x3} \eta_3(x1, x2, x3) \right) \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute a finite free resolution of the left $Alg2$ -module $M2$ defined as the cokernel of the matrix $R2$, i.e., $M2 = Alg2^{\{1*3\}} / (Alg2^{\{1*3\}} R2)$.

> $F2 := \text{FreeResolution}(R2, Alg2);$

$$F2 := \text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x^2 D1}{2} & x^2 D2 + 1 & x^2 D3 + \frac{D1}{2} \\ -\frac{x^2 D2}{2} - \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{D2}{2} \\ -D1 - \frac{x^2 D3}{2} & -D2 & -\frac{D3}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \\ 2 = [D2 \quad -D1 - x^2 D3 \quad 2 + x^2 D2], \\ 3 = \text{INJ}(1) \\])$$

Let us check whether or not $M2$ admits a shorter finite free resolution. We have:

> $G2 := \text{ShorterFreeResolution}(F2, Alg2);$

$$G2 := \text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x^2 D1}{2} & x^2 D2 + 1 & x^2 D3 + \frac{D1}{2} & -x^2 \\ -\frac{x^2 D2}{2} - \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{D2}{2} & 0 \\ -D1 - \frac{x^2 D3}{2} & -D2 & -\frac{D3}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, 2 = \text{INJ}(3)])$$

Hence, we obtain a shorter finite free resolution defined by $G2$. As the first matrix $G2$ has full row rank, i.e., its syzygy module is trivial as $G2[2] = \text{INJ}(3)$, we know that we cannot reduce once more the free resolution $G2$. This information can also be obtained using the command "minimal free resolution".

> $\text{MinimalFreeResolution}(R2, Alg2);$

$$\text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x^2 D1}{2} & x^2 D2 + 1 & x^2 D3 + \frac{D1}{2} & -x^2 \\ -\frac{x^2 D2}{2} - \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{D2}{2} & 0 \\ -D1 - \frac{x^2 D3}{2} & -D2 & -\frac{D3}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, 2 = \text{INJ}(3)])$$

Finally, let us check whether or not $M2$ is a projective, and thus, a stably free left $Alg2$ -module. In order to do that, let us compute if the full row rank matrix $G2[1]$ admits a right-inverse:

> $\text{RightInverse}(G2[1], Alg2);$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x^2 \\ 0 & -x^2 & 0 \\ D2 & -D1 - x^2 D3 & 2 + x^2 D2 \end{bmatrix}$$

This last result is also coherent with the fact that the projective dimension of $M2$ is:

> $\text{ProjectiveDimension}(R2, Alg2);$

0

Indeed, $M2$ is then a projective left $Alg2$ -module. We note that this result corrects a small typo in Example 7 in page 14 of A. Quadrat and D. Robertz, *Constructive computation of bases of free modules over the Weyl algebra*, INRIA Report 5786, 2005. Hence, $M2$ is a stably free $Alg2$ -module of rank:

```
> OreRank(R2,Alg2);
```

1

We cannot use the result due to J. T. Stafford which asserts that every stably free $Alg2$ -module of rank at least 2 is free, in order to conclude that $M2$ is a free left $Alg2$ -module. However, we can try to find if there exists an injective minimal parametrization of $R2$:

```
> Q:=MinimalParametrization(R2,Alg2);
```

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} -D2 \\ D1 + x2 D3 \\ -2 - x2 D2 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> S:=LeftInverse(Q,Alg2);
```

$$S := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x2}{2} & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> Mult(S,Q,Alg2);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, we obtain that $M2$ is a free $Alg2$ -module of rank 1 and we have the following parametrization of the system $R2 \eta = 0$:

```
> evalm([seq([eta[i](x)],i=1..3)])=Parametrization(R2,Alg2);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \eta_1(x1, x2, x3) \\ \eta_2(x1, x2, x3) \\ \eta_3(x1, x2, x3) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -(\frac{\partial}{\partial x2} \xi_1(x1, x2, x3)) \\ (\frac{\partial}{\partial x1} \xi_1(x1, x2, x3)) + x2 (\frac{\partial}{\partial x3} \xi_1(x1, x2, x3)) \\ -2 \xi_1(x1, x2, x3) - x2 (\frac{\partial}{\partial x2} \xi_1(x1, x2, x3)) \end{bmatrix}$$

This parametrization is injective as we then have:

```
> xi[1](x)=ApplyMatrix(S,[seq(eta[i](x),i=1..3)],Alg2)[1,1];
```

$$\xi_1(x1, x2, x3) = \frac{1}{2} x2 \eta_1(x1, x2, x3) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_3(x1, x2, x3)$$

Let us consider the so-called *Janet's example* (M. Janet, *Leçons sur les systemes d'equations aux derivees partielles*, Gauthier-Vilars, 1929, p. 76-77). The system is defined by the following matrix of differential operators:

```
> R3:=evalm([ [D3^2-x2*D1^2], [D2^2] ] );
```

$$R3 := \begin{bmatrix} D3^2 - D1^2 x2 \\ D2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us compute a finite free resolution of the left $Alg2$ -module $M3$ defined as the cokernel of the matrix $R3$, i.e., $M3 = Alg2 / (Alg2 (D3^2 - x2 D1^2) + Alg2 (D2^2))$.

```
> F3:=FreeResolution(R3,Alg2);
```

```

F3 := table([1 = [ D3^2 - D1^2 x2
                  D2^2 ],
2 = [D2^3, 3 D1^2 + D2 D1^2 x2 - D2 D3^2]
[D3^4 D2^2 + 2 D2 D3^2 D1^2 - 2 D3^2 D1^2 x2 D2^2 + 2 D1^4 - 2 D1^4 x2 D2
+ D1^4 x2^2 D2^2, 3 D3^4 D1^2 x2 - D3^6 - 3 D3^2 D1^4 x2^2 + D1^6 x2^3],
3 = [ D1^4 x2^2 - 2 D1^2 x2 D3^2 + D3^4 -D2 ],
4 = INJ(1)
])

```

We now can compute a shorter free resolution of M_3 if it exists. We obtain:

```
> MinimalFreeResolution(R3,Alg2);
```

```

table([1 = [ D3^2 - D1^2 x2
             D2^2 ],
2 = [D2^3, 3 D1^2 + D2 D1^2 x2 - D2 D3^2]
[D3^4 D2^2 + 2 D2 D3^2 D1^2 - 2 D3^2 D1^2 x2 D2^2 + 2 D1^4 - 2 D1^4 x2 D2
+ D1^4 x2^2 D2^2, 3 D3^4 D1^2 x2 - D3^6 - 3 D3^2 D1^4 x2^2 + D1^6 x2^3],
3 = [ D1^4 x2^2 - 2 D1^2 x2 D3^2 + D3^4 -D2 ],
4 = INJ(1)
])

```

Hence, it is not possible to shorten the finite free resolution of M_3 defined by F_3 . Therefore, we obtain that the projective dimension of M_3 is:

```
> ProjectiveDimension(R3,Alg2);
```

3

Finally, let us consider the following example.

```
> Alg4:=DefineOreAlgebra(diff=[D1,x1],diff=[D2,x2],polynom=[x1,x2]):
```

The system is defined by means of the following matrix of differential operators:

```
> R4:=evalm([[D2^3+x2],[D1^2+D2]]);
```

$$R_4 := \begin{bmatrix} D_2^3 + x_2 \\ D_1^2 + D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We then have the system of partial differential equations:

```
> x:=x1,x2: ApplyMatrix(R4,[y(x)],Alg4)=evalm([0]$2);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 y(x_1, x_2) + (\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x_2^3} y(x_1, x_2)) \\ (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} y(x_1, x_2)) + (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} y(x_1, x_2)) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We compute a finite free resolution of the left Alg_4 -module M_4 defined as the cokernel of the matrix R_4 , i.e., $M_4 = Alg_4 / (Alg_4 (D_2^3 + x_2) + Alg_4 (D_1^2 + D_2))$.

> F4:=FreeResolution(R4,Alg4);

$$F_4 := \text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} D^3 + x^2 \\ D^2 + D \end{bmatrix}, \\ 2 = \begin{bmatrix} -D^4 - 2D^2D^2 - D^2 & 2 + D^2D^3 + D^2x^2 + D^4 + x^2D \\ 1 - D^2D^3 - D^4 - D^2x^2 - x^2D & D^6 + 2x^2D^3 + 3D^2 + x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ 3 = \begin{bmatrix} D^3 + x^2 & -D^2 - D \end{bmatrix}, \\ 4 = \text{INJ}(1) \\])$$

Let us check whether or not we can shorten the previous finite free resolution of M_4 .

> G4:=ShorterFreeResolution(F4,Alg4);

$$G_4 := \text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} D^3 + x^2 \\ D^2 + D \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ 2 = \begin{bmatrix} -D^4 - 2D^2D^2 - D^2, 2 + D^2D^3 + D^2x^2 + D^4 + x^2D, -D^2 - D \\ 1 - D^2D^3 - D^4 - D^2x^2 - x^2D, D^6 + 2x^2D^3 + 3D^2 + x^2, -D^3 - x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ 3 = \text{INJ}(2) \\])$$

Hence, we can reduce the length of the free resolution F_4 of M_4 by one. Let us try to continue.

> H4:=ShorterFreeResolution(G4,Alg4);

$$H_4 := \text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} D^3 + x^2 & 0 & 1 \\ D^2 + D & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & D^3 + x^2 & -D^2 - D \end{bmatrix}, 2 = \text{INJ}(3)])$$

We obtain a finite free resolution of M_4 defined by a full row rank matrix as $H_4[2] = \text{INJ}(3)$. Hence, it is a "minimal free resolution" of M_4 . This last result can be directly checked by computing a minimal free resolution of M_4 :

> MinimalFreeResolution(R4,Alg4);

$$\text{table}([1 = \begin{bmatrix} D^3 + x^2 & 0 & 1 \\ D^2 + D & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & D^3 + x^2 & -D^2 - D \end{bmatrix}, 2 = \text{INJ}(3)])$$

As M_4 is presented by means of a full row rank matrix, we then know that M_4 is a stably free left Alg_4 -module iff the presentation matrix $H_4[1]$ admits a right-inverse. Let us check this last point:

> RightInverse(H4[1],Alg4);

$$\begin{bmatrix} D^2 + D, -D^3 - x^2, 1 \\ -D^4 - 2D^2D^2 - D^2, 2 + D^2D^3 + D^2x^2 + D^4 + x^2D, -D^2 - D \\ 1 - D^2D^3 - D^4 - D^2x^2 - x^2D, D^6 + 2x^2D^3 + 3D^2 + x^2, -D^3 - x^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, we obtain that M_4 is a stably free left Alg_4 -module of rank:

> OreRank(R4,Alg4);

0

However, as the rank of M_4 over Alg_4 is 0, we know that M_4 is also a torsion left Alg_4 -module, and thus, we obtain that M_4 must be the zero module. The fact that M_4 is the zero module is equivalent to the existence of a left-inverse S_4 of R_4 over Alg_4 as we can easily check:

```
> S4:=LeftInverse(R4,Alg4);
```

$$S_4 := \begin{bmatrix} D1^2 + D2 & -D2^3 - x2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Indeed, we have $S_4 R_4 = 1$:

```
> Mult(S4,R4,Alg4);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, the projective dimension of $M_4 = 0$ is:

```
> ProjectiveDimension(R4,Alg4);
```

$$0$$