

Let us consider a differential time-delay system defined by a vibrating string with an interior mass. See H. Mounier, J. Rudolph, M. Fliess, P. Rouchon, *Tracking Control of a Vibrating String with an Interior Mass viewed as Delay System*, ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations, 3 (1998), pp. 315-321.

```
> with(Ore_algebra):
> with(OreModules):
```

We define the Ore algebra  $Alg$ , where  $Dt$  is the differential operator w.r.t.  $t$ ,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  act as shift operators. Note that the parameters  $\eta_1, \eta_2$ , which are composed of the tensions, densities and the mass as defined in (Mounier et al., 1998), have to be declared in the definition of the Ore algebra:

```
> Alg := DefineOreAlgebra(diff=[Dt,t], dual_shift=[sigma1,y1],
> dual_shift=[sigma2,y2], polynom=[t,y1,y2], comm=[eta1,eta2],
> shift_action=[sigma1,t,tau1], shift_action=[sigma2,t,tau2]):
```

As in (Mounier et al., 1998), we study the case of position control on both boundaries and the case of a single control at one end only. Let us start with *two controls on the boundary*. We enter the system matrix  $R$ :

```
> R := evalm([[1,1,-1,-1,0,0],[Dt+eta1,Dt-eta1,-eta2,eta2,0,0],
> [sigma1^2,1,0,0,-sigma1,0],[0,0,1,sigma2^2,0,-sigma2]]);
```

$$R := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ Dt + \eta_1 & Dt - \eta_1 & -\eta_2 & \eta_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_1^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \sigma_2^2 & 0 & -\sigma_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us define the formal adjoint  $R_{adj}$  of  $R$  using an involution of  $Alg$ :

```
> R_adj := Involution(R, Alg):
```

We check controllability of the system by applying  $Ext1$  to  $R_{adj}$ :

```
> st := time(): Ext1 := Ext1(R_adj, Alg, 1): time()-st; Ext1[1];
```

$$\begin{matrix} 1.340 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

We actually computed the first extension module  $\text{ext}^1$  with values in  $Alg$  of the  $Alg$ -module  $N$  which is associated with  $R_{adj}$ . Since  $Ext1[1]$  is the identity matrix, we see that the  $Alg$ -module  $M$  which is associated with the system is torsion-free. This means that the vibrating string with interior mass is controllable and, equivalently, parametrizable. A parametrization of the system is given in  $Ext1[3]$ :

```
> Ext1[3];
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\eta_2\sigma_2, -\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2, -\sigma_1 Dt - \sigma_1\eta_2 + \sigma_1\eta_1 \\ 0, \sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2, \sigma_1\eta_2 + \sigma_1 Dt + \sigma_1\eta_1 \\ Dt\sigma_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 + \sigma_2\eta_1, -\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1, 0 \\ -Dt\sigma_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 - \sigma_2\eta_1, \sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1, 2\sigma_1\eta_1 \\ 2\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2, -\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\eta_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2, \eta_2 - \eta_2\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 Dt + Dt + \sigma_1^2\eta_1 + \eta_1 \\ -Dt\sigma_2^2 + \eta_2\sigma_2^2 - \eta_1\sigma_2^2 + Dt + \eta_2 + \eta_1, -\sigma_1\eta_1 + \sigma_1\eta_1\sigma_2^2, 2\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So, the system can be parametrized by means of three arbitrary functions. We want to check now whether or not this parametrization is a *minimal* one. In order to do that, let us compute the rank of  $M$ :

```
> OreRank(R, Alg);
```

2

Hence, we know that there exist some parametrizations of the system which involve only two arbitrary functions. We find one minimal parametrization of the system as follows:

```
> P := MinimalParametrizations(R, Alg);
```

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2\eta_2\sigma_2 & -\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2 \\ 0 & \sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2 \\ Dt\sigma_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 + \sigma_2\eta_1 & -\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1 \\ -Dt\sigma_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 - \sigma_2\eta_1 & \sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1 \\ 2\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2 & -\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\eta_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 \\ -Dt\sigma_2^2 + \eta_2\sigma_2^2 - \eta_1\sigma_2^2 + Dt + \eta_2 + \eta_1 & -\sigma_1\eta_1 + \sigma_1\eta_1\sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 2\eta_2\sigma_2 & -\sigma_1 Dt - \sigma_1\eta_2 + \sigma_1\eta_1 \\ 0 & \sigma_1\eta_2 + \sigma_1 Dt + \sigma_1\eta_1 \\ Dt\sigma_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 + \sigma_2\eta_1 & 0 \\ -Dt\sigma_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 - \sigma_2\eta_1 & 2\sigma_1\eta_1 \\ 2\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2 & \eta_2 - \eta_2\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 Dt + Dt + \sigma_1^2\eta_1 + \eta_1 \\ -Dt\sigma_2^2 + \eta_2\sigma_2^2 - \eta_1\sigma_2^2 + Dt + \eta_2 + \eta_1 & 2\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2 & -\sigma_1 Dt - \sigma_1\eta_2 + \sigma_1\eta_1 \\ \sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_2 & \sigma_1\eta_2 + \sigma_1 Dt + \sigma_1\eta_1 \\ -\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1 & 0 \\ \sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1 & 2\sigma_1\eta_1 \\ -\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\eta_2 + \eta_2\sigma_2 & \eta_2 - \eta_2\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 Dt + Dt + \sigma_1^2\eta_1 + \eta_1 \\ -\sigma_1\eta_1 + \sigma_1\eta_1\sigma_2^2 & 2\sigma_2\sigma_1\eta_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix},$$

Therefore, the first minimal parametrization of the system is defined by:

```
> evalm([[phi1(t)], [psi1(t)], [phi2(t)], [psi2(t)], [u(t)], [v(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(P[1], [xi1(t), x2(t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \psi_1(t) \\ \phi_2(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \\ u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [2\eta_2\xi_1(t - \tau_2) - \eta_2x_2(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2)] \\ [\eta_2x_2(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2)] \\ [D(\xi_1)(t - \tau_2) + \eta_2\xi_1(t - \tau_2) + \eta_1\xi_1(t - \tau_2) - \eta_1x_2(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2)] \\ [-D(\xi_1)(t - \tau_2) + \eta_2\xi_1(t - \tau_2) - \eta_1\xi_1(t - \tau_2) + \eta_1x_2(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2)] \\ [2\eta_2\xi_1(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2) - \eta_2x_2(t - 2\tau_1 - \tau_2) + \eta_2x_2(t - \tau_2)] \\ [-D(\xi_1)(t - 2\tau_2) + \eta_2\xi_1(t - 2\tau_2) - \eta_1\xi_1(t - 2\tau_2) + D(\xi_1)(t) + \eta_2\xi_1(t) \\ + \eta_1\xi_1(t) - \eta_1x_2(t - \tau_1) + \eta_1x_2(t - \tau_1 - 2\tau_2)] \end{bmatrix}$$

The second minimal parametrization of the system is defined by:

```
> evalm([[phi1(t)], [psi1(t)], [phi2(t)], [psi2(t)], [u(t)], [v(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(P[2], [xi1(t), x2(t)], Alg);
```

2

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \psi_1(t) \\ \phi_2(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \\ u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [2\eta_2 \xi_1(t - \tau_2) - D(x_2)(t - \tau_1) - \eta_2 x_2(t - \tau_1) + \eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1)] \\ [\eta_2 x_2(t - \tau_1) + D(x_2)(t - \tau_1) + \eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1)] \\ [D(\xi_1)(t - \tau_2) + \eta_2 \xi_1(t - \tau_2) + \eta_1 \xi_1(t - \tau_2)] \\ [-D(\xi_1)(t - \tau_2) + \eta_2 \xi_1(t - \tau_2) - \eta_1 \xi_1(t - \tau_2) + 2\eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1)] \\ [2\eta_2 \xi_1(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2) + \eta_2 x_2(t) - \eta_2 x_2(t - 2\tau_1) - D(x_2)(t - 2\tau_1) + D(x_2)(t) \\ + \eta_1 x_2(t - 2\tau_1) + \eta_1 x_2(t)] \\ [-D(\xi_1)(t - 2\tau_2) + \eta_2 \xi_1(t - 2\tau_2) - \eta_1 \xi_1(t - 2\tau_2) + D(\xi_1)(t) + \eta_2 \xi_1(t) \\ + \eta_1 \xi_1(t) + 2\eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2)] \end{bmatrix}$$

The third minimal parametrization of the system is defined by:

```
> evalm([[phi1(t)], [psi1(t)], [phi2(t)], [psi2(t)], [u(t)], [v(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(P[3], [xi1(t), x2(t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \psi_1(t) \\ \phi_2(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \\ u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [-\eta_2 \%1 - D(x_2)(t - \tau_1) - \eta_2 x_2(t - \tau_1) + \eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1)] \\ [\eta_2 \%1 + \eta_2 x_2(t - \tau_1) + D(x_2)(t - \tau_1) + \eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1)] \\ [-\eta_1 \%1] \\ [\eta_1 \%1 + 2\eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1)] \\ [-\eta_2 \xi_1(t - 2\tau_1 - \tau_2) + \eta_2 \xi_1(t - \tau_2) + \eta_2 x_2(t) - \eta_2 x_2(t - 2\tau_1) \\ - D(x_2)(t - 2\tau_1) + D(x_2)(t) + \eta_1 x_2(t - 2\tau_1) + \eta_1 x_2(t)] \\ [-\eta_1 \xi_1(t - \tau_1) + \eta_1 \xi_1(t - \tau_1 - 2\tau_2) + 2\eta_1 x_2(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2)] \\ \%1 := \xi_1(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us continue the study of the module properties of  $M$ . Let us check whether or not  $R$  has full row rank.

```
> SyzygyModule(R, Alg);
```

INJ(4)

We obtain that the rows of  $R$  are  $Alg$ -linearly independent, and thus,  $R$  has full row rank. Hence, we know that  $M$  is projective if and only if  $R$  admits a right-inverse.

```
> RightInverse(R, Alg);
```

□

Hence,  $M$  is not projective, which implies that  $M$  is not free, i.e., the vibrating string with interior mass is not a flat system. Another way to verify this is to compute the second and third extension modules  $\text{ext}^2$  and  $\text{ext}^3$  with values in  $Alg$  of the  $Alg$ -module  $N$  which is associated with  $R_{adj}$ :

```

> Exti(R_adj, Alg, 2);
      
$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \eta_2 \sigma_2 & 0 & \sigma_1 \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt & -\sigma_1 \eta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 \sigma_2 & \eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\sigma_1 \eta_1 \\ -\eta_2 - Dt - \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \sigma_2 \end{array} \right]$$

> Exti(R_adj, Alg, 3);
      
$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_1 \\ \eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt \end{array} \right], [ 1 ], \text{SURJ}(1)$$


```

We see that the second extension module  $\text{ext}^2$  is zero, but the third extension module  $\text{ext}^3$  is different from zero. Therefore,  $M$  is a reflexive but not a projective  $\text{Alg}$ -module (we remember that  $M$  is projective if and only if  $\text{ext}^i$  of  $R_{\text{adj}}$  is zero for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). We can work out the obstructions to flatness. Let us find a polynomial  $\pi$  in the variable  $\sigma_1$  such that the system is  $\pi$ -free (see H. Mounier, *Propriétés structurelles des systèmes linéaires à retards: aspects théoriques et pratiques*, PhD Thesis, University of Orsay, France, 1995):

```

> PiPolynomial(R, Alg, [sigma1]);
      
$$[\sigma_1]$$


```

Let us find a polynomial  $\pi$  in the variable  $\sigma_2$  such that the system is  $\pi$ -free:

```

> PiPolynomial(R, Alg, [sigma2]);
      
$$[\sigma_2]$$


```

Hence, if we invert  $\sigma_1$  or  $\sigma_2$ , i.e., we allow ourselves to use time-advance operators, then by definition of the  $\pi$ -polynomial, the system becomes flat. A flat output for this system can be computed from a left-inverse of the minimal parametrization  $P[1]$ , where we allow  $\sigma_1$  or  $\sigma_2$  to appear in the denominators. Let us compute the annihilator of the cokernel of the minimal parametrization  $P[1]$ . We know from the theory that  $M$  is a torsion  $\text{Alg}$ -module.

```

> Ann1 := AnnExti(linalg[transpose](P[1]), Alg, 1);
      
$$\text{Ann1} := [\sigma_2]$$


```

Let us compute a left-inverse of the minimal parametrization  $P[1]$  by allowing  $\sigma_2$  to appear in the denominators:

```

> L1 := LocalLeftInverse(P[1], Ann1, Alg);
      
$$L1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma_2\eta_2} & \frac{1}{2\sigma_2\eta_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2\eta_2} & -\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2\eta_2} & -\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2\eta_2} & \frac{1}{\sigma_2\eta_2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$


```

We easily check that  $L1$  is a left-inverse of  $P[1]$ :

```

> simplify(evalm(L1 &* P[1]));
      
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


```

Thus, if we can invert  $\sigma_2$ , we obtain a flat output of the system defined by

$$(\xi_1 : \xi_2)^T = L1(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T,$$

which satisfies  $(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T = P[1] (\xi_1 : \xi_2)^T$ , where  $\phi_1, \psi_1, \phi_2, \psi_2, u, v$  are the system variables (Mounier et al., 1998). More precisely, we have:

```
> evalm([[xi1(t)], [xi2(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(L1, [phi1(t), psi1(t), phi2(t), psi2(t), u(t), v(t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(t) \\ \xi_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi_2(t+\tau_2)}{\eta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\psi_2(t+\tau_2)}{\eta_2} \\ \frac{\psi_1(t+\tau_2-\tau_1)}{\eta_2} - \frac{\phi_2(t+\tau_2-\tau_1)}{\eta_2} - \frac{\psi_2(t+\tau_2-\tau_1)}{\eta_2} + \frac{u(t+\tau_2)}{\eta_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us point out that any multiplication of  $(\xi_1 : \xi_2)^T$  by a matrix which is unimodular over  $Alg[\sigma^2]$  gives a new flat output of the system. For instance,

$$\theta_1 = 2\eta_2\sigma_2\xi_1 = \phi_2 + \psi_2, \quad \theta_2 = \eta_2\sigma_2(\xi_2 + 2\sigma_1\xi_1) = \sigma_1\psi_1 = u$$

is a new flat output of the system. Let us prove this result. By substituting

$$(\xi_1 : \xi_2)^T = L1(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T$$

into the parametrization  $(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T = P[1](\xi_1 : \xi_2)^T$ , we obtain

$$(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T = Q1(\phi_1 : \psi_2 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T,$$

where  $Q1$  is defined by:

```
> Q1 := simplify(evalm(P[1] &* L1));
```

$$Q1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0, -\sigma_1^2, 1 + \sigma_1^2, 1 + \sigma_1^2, -\sigma_1, 0 \\ 0, \sigma_1^2, -\sigma_1^2, -\sigma_1^2, \sigma_1, 0 \\ \left[ 0, -\frac{\sigma_1^2\eta_1}{\eta_2}, \frac{\eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt + 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1}{2\eta_2}, \frac{\eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt + 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1}{2\eta_2}, -\frac{\sigma_1\eta_1}{\eta_2}, 0 \right] \\ \left[ 0, \frac{\sigma_1^2\eta_1}{\eta_2}, \frac{-Dt + \eta_2 - \eta_1 - 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1}{2\eta_2}, \frac{-Dt + \eta_2 - \eta_1 - 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1}{2\eta_2}, \frac{\sigma_1\eta_1}{\eta_2}, 0 \right] \\ 0, -(-1 + \sigma_1^2)\sigma_1, \sigma_1^3, \sigma_1^3, -\sigma_1^2 + 1, 0 \\ \left[ 0, \frac{\sigma_1^2\eta_1(-1 + \sigma_2^2)}{\eta_2\sigma_2}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{-Dt\sigma_2^2 + \eta_2\sigma_2^2 - \eta_1\sigma_2^2 + Dt + \eta_2 + \eta_1 + 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1 - 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1\sigma_2^2}{2\eta_2\sigma_2}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{-Dt\sigma_2^2 + \eta_2\sigma_2^2 - \eta_1\sigma_2^2 + Dt + \eta_2 + \eta_1 + 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1 - 2\sigma_1^2\eta_1\sigma_2^2}{2\eta_2\sigma_2}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{\sigma_1\eta_1(-1 + \sigma_2^2)}{\eta_2\sigma_2}, 0 \right] \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us point out that  $Q1$  is an idempotent of  $Alg[\sigma^2]^{6 \times 6}$  (namely,  $Q1$  satisfies  $Q1^2 = Q1$ ), as we can easily check:

```
> simplify(evalm(Q1 &* Q1 - Q1));
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us define the matrix  $L1bis$  as  $(\theta1: \theta2)^T = L1bis (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T$ :

```
> L1bis := evalm([[0,0,1,1,0,0],[0,sigma1,0,0,1,0]]);
                    L1bis :=  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 
> F1 := simplify(evalm(Factorize(evalm(sigma2*Q1), L1bis, Alg)/sigma2));
F1 :=
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sigma1^2, -\sigma1 \\ -\sigma1^2, \sigma1 \\ \frac{\eta2 + \eta1 + Dt + 2\sigma1^2 \eta1}{2\eta2}, -\frac{\sigma1 \eta1}{\eta2} \\ \frac{-Dt + \eta2 - \eta1 - 2\sigma1^2 \eta1}{2\eta2}, \frac{\sigma1 \eta1}{\eta2} \\ \sigma1^3, -\sigma1^2 + 1 \\ \frac{-Dt \sigma2^2 + \eta2 \sigma2^2 - \eta1 \sigma2^2 + Dt + \eta2 + \eta1 + 2\sigma1^2 \eta1 - 2\sigma1^2 \eta1 \sigma2^2}{2\eta2 \sigma2} \\ \frac{\sigma1 \eta1 (-1 + \sigma2^2)}{\eta2 \sigma2} \end{bmatrix}$ 
```

Therefore, the matrix  $F1$  is such that  $Q1 = F1 L1bis$ , and thus, we have  $(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T = Q1 (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T = F1 (L1bis (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T) = F1 (\theta1: \theta2)^T$ .

We let the reader check by himself that we obtain a parametrization of the system.

Moreover, we have  $(\theta1 : \theta2)^T = L1bis (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T$  which proves that  $(\theta1 : \theta2)^T$  is a flat output of the system. More precisely, we have:

```
> evalm([[theta1(t)], [theta2(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(L1bis, [phi1(t),psi1(t),phi2(t),psi2(t),u(t),v(t)], Alg);
                     $\begin{bmatrix} \theta1(t) \\ \theta2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi2(t) + \psi2(t) \\ \psi1(t - \tau1) + u(t) \end{bmatrix}$ 
> evalm([[phi1(t)], [psi1(t)], [phi2(t)], [psi2(t)], [u(t)], [v(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(F1, [theta1(t),theta2(t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \psi_1(t) \\ \phi_2(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \\ u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} = \\
& \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1(t) + \sigma_1 - \theta_2(t - \tau_1) \\ -\sigma_1 + \theta_2(t - \tau_1) \\ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \theta_1(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_1 \theta_1(t)}{\eta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\theta_1)(t)}{\eta_2} + \frac{\eta_1 \sigma_1}{\eta_2} - \frac{\eta_1 \theta_2(t - \tau_1)}{\eta_2} \right] \\ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\theta_1)(t)}{\eta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_1 \theta_1(t)}{\eta_2} - \frac{\eta_1 \sigma_1}{\eta_2} + \frac{\eta_1 \theta_2(t - \tau_1)}{\eta_2} \right] \\ \theta_1(t - 3\tau_1) - \theta_2(t - 2\tau_1) + \theta_2(t) \\ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\theta_1)(t - \tau_2)}{\eta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_1(t - \tau_2) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_1 \theta_1(t - \tau_2)}{\eta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\theta_1)(t + \tau_2)}{\eta_2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \theta_1(t + \tau_2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_1 \theta_1(t + \tau_2)}{\eta_2} + \frac{\eta_1 \theta_1(t + \tau_2 - 2\tau_1)}{\eta_2} - \frac{\eta_1 \theta_1(t - \tau_2 - 2\tau_1)}{\eta_2} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\eta_1 \theta_2(t + \tau_2 - \tau_1)}{\eta_2} + \frac{\eta_1 \theta_2(t - \tau_2 - \tau_1)}{\eta_2} \right] \\ \sigma_1 := \theta_1(t - 2\tau_1) \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

We can repeat the same procedure for  $P[2]$  and  $P[3]$ :

```

> Ann2 := AnnExti(linalg[transpose](P[2]), Alg, 1);
> Ann3 := AnnExti(linalg[transpose](P[3]), Alg, 1);
Ann2 := [\eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt]
Ann3 := [\sigma_1]

```

The annihilator of  $P[3]$  contains only  $\sigma_1$ . Let us compute a flat output by allowing the time-advance operator  $\sigma_1^{-1}$  to appear in the basis. Let us remark that this fact is not a problem for the main application of flatness, which is the motion planning problem. See (see H. Mounier, *Propriétés structurelles des systèmes linéaires à retards: aspects théoriques et pratiques*, PhD Thesis, University of Orsay, France, 1995) for more details.

```

> L3 := LocalLeftInverse(P[3], Ann3, Alg);

```

$$L3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 \eta_1} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sigma_1 \eta_1} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma_1 \eta_1} & \frac{1}{2\sigma_1 \eta_1} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix  $L3$  is a left-inverse of  $P[3]$  over  $Alg[\sigma_1^{-1}]$ , as we can check:

```

> simplify(evalm(L3 &* P[3]));

```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, if we use the time-advance operator  $\sigma_1^{-1}$ , we obtain that

$$(\xi_1 : \xi_2)^T = L3(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T$$

is a flat output of the system which satisfies  $(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T = P[3] (\xi_1 : \xi_2)^T$ . More precisely, we have:

```
> evalm([[xi1(t)], [xi2(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(L3, [phi1(t), psi1(t), phi2(t), psi2(t), u(t), v(t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(t) \\ \xi_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\psi_2(t + \tau_1 - \tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{v(t + \tau_1)}{\eta_1} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi_2(t + \tau_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\psi_2(t + \tau_1)}{\eta_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Using trivial linear combinations of  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  with coefficients in  $Alg[\sigma_1^{-1}]$ , we obtain that

$$(\zeta_1 = \sigma_2 \psi_2 - v, \quad \zeta_2 = \phi_2 + \psi_2)$$

is also a flat output of the system over  $Alg[\sigma_1^{-1}]$ . Let us prove this result as we have done previously.

We first compute the matrix  $Q\beta$  defined by  $Q\beta = P[3] \circ L\beta$ .

```
> Q3 := simplify(evalm(P[3] &* L3));
```

$$\begin{aligned} Q\beta := & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, -\frac{Dt + \eta_2 - \eta_1}{2\eta_1}, -\frac{2\eta_2\sigma_2^2 + Dt + \eta_2 - \eta_1}{2\eta_1}, 0, \frac{\sigma_2\eta_2}{\eta_1} \\ 0, 0, \frac{\eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt}{2\eta_1}, \frac{2\eta_2\sigma_2^2 + \eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt}{2\eta_1}, 0, -\frac{\sigma_2\eta_2}{\eta_1} \\ 0, 0, 0, -\sigma_2^2, 0, \sigma_2 \\ 0, 0, 1, \sigma_2^2 + 1, 0, -\sigma_2 \\ 0, 0, -\frac{-\eta_2 + \eta_2\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2 Dt - Dt - \sigma_1^2\eta_1 - \eta_1}{2\sigma_1\eta_1}, \\ -\frac{-2\eta_2\sigma_2^2 + 2\eta_2\sigma_2^2\sigma_1^2 - \eta_2 + \eta_2\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2 Dt - Dt - \sigma_1^2\eta_1 - \eta_1}{2\sigma_1\eta_1}, 0, \\ \frac{\eta_2\sigma_2(-1 + \sigma_1^2)}{\sigma_1\eta_1} \\ 0, 0, \sigma_2, \sigma_2^3, 0, 1 - \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we define the matrix  $L\beta_{bis}$  such that  $(\zeta_1 : \zeta_2)^T = L\beta_{bis} (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T$ .

```
> L3bis := evalm([[0,0,0,sigma2,0,-1],[0,0,1,1,0,0]]);
```

$$L\beta_{bis} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> F3 := simplify(evalm(Factorize(evalm(sigma1*Q3), L3bis, Alg)/sigma1));
```

$$F\beta := \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sigma_2\eta_2}{\eta_1} & -\frac{Dt + \eta_2 - \eta_1}{2\eta_1} \\ \frac{\sigma_2\eta_2}{\eta_1} & \frac{\eta_2 + \eta_1 + Dt}{2\eta_1} \\ -\sigma_2 & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & 1 \\ -\frac{\eta_2\sigma_2(-1 + \sigma_1^2)}{\sigma_1\eta_1} & -\frac{-\eta_2 + \eta_2\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2 Dt - Dt - \sigma_1^2\eta_1 - \eta_1}{2\sigma_1\eta_1} \\ -1 + \sigma_2^2 & \sigma_2 \end{bmatrix}$$



Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T &= Q3 (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T \\ &= F3 (L3bis (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T) = F3 (\zeta_1 : \zeta_2)^T, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\zeta_1 : \zeta_2)^T = L3bis (\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \phi_2 : \psi_2 : u : v)^T$ . Therefore,  $(\zeta_1 : \zeta_2)^T$  is another flat output of the system. More precisely, we have:

```
> evalm([[zeta1(t)], [zeta2(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(L3bis, [phi1(t), psi1(t), phi2(t), psi2(t), u(t), v(t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \zeta_1(t) \\ \zeta_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_2(t - \tau_2) - v(t) \\ \phi_2(t) + \psi_2(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> evalm([[phi1(t)], [psi1(t)], [phi2(t)], [psi2(t)], [u(t)], [v(t)]])=
> ApplyMatrix(F3, [zeta1(t), zeta2(t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \psi_1(t) \\ \phi_2(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \\ u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\eta_2 \zeta_1(t - \tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\eta_2 - \eta_1) \zeta_2(t)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\zeta_2)(t)}{\eta_1} \\ \frac{\eta_2 \zeta_1(t - \tau_2)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\eta_2 + \eta_1) \zeta_2(t)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\zeta_2)(t)}{\eta_1} \\ -\zeta_1(t - \tau_2) \\ \zeta_1(t - \tau_2) + \zeta_2(t) \\ \left[ \frac{\eta_2 \zeta_1(t + \tau_1 - \tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{\eta_2 \zeta_1(t - \tau_2 - \tau_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2 \zeta_2(t + \tau_1)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2 \zeta_2(t - \tau_1)}{\eta_1} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\zeta_2)(t - \tau_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\zeta_2)(t + \tau_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_2(t - \tau_1) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_2(t + \tau_1) \right] \\ -\zeta_1(t) + \zeta_1(t - 2\tau_2) + \zeta_2(t - \tau_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Next we consider the system with a *single control at only one end of the spring*. The system matrix now is the following one. To obtain the system in (Mounier et al., 1998), one simply has to apply this matrix to the vector of system variables  $(\phi_1 : \psi_1 : \psi_2 : u)^T$ .

```
> R2 := evalm([[1,1,sigma2^2-1,0],[sigma1^2,1,0,-sigma1],
> [Dt+eta1,Dt-eta1,eta2*(1+sigma2^2),0]]);
```

$$R2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sigma^2 - 1 & 0 \\ \sigma^2 & 1 & 0 & -\sigma \\ Dt + \eta_1 & Dt - \eta_1 & \eta_2(1 + \sigma^2) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We define a formal adjoint of  $R2$  using an involution of  $Alg$ :

```
> R2_adj := Involution(R2, Alg);
```

We use *Exti* again to check controllability of the system:

```
> st := time(): Ext1 := Exti(R2_adj, Alg, 1): time()-st; Ext1[1];
0.890
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

As in the preceding case, we see that the vibrating string with interior mass is controllable and, equivalently, parametrizable. A parametrization is defined by:

```
> map(collect, Ext1[3], {sigma1,Dt});
      [((1 - sigma^2) Dt + eta1 sigma^2 - eta1 + eta2 + eta2 sigma^2) sigma]
      [((sigma^2 - 1) Dt - eta2 - eta1 - eta2 sigma^2 + eta1 sigma^2) sigma]
      [-2 sigma eta1]
      [((1 - sigma^2) Dt + eta1 sigma^2 - eta1 + eta2 + eta2 sigma^2) sigma^2 + (sigma^2 - 1) Dt - eta2 - eta1
      - eta2 sigma^2 + eta1 sigma^2]
> evalm([[phi1(t)], [psi1(t)], [psi2(t)], [u(t)]])=Parametrization(R2, Alg);
      [ phi1(t) ]
      [ psi1(t) ] =
      [ psi2(t) ]
      [ u(t) ]
      [- D(xi1)(t - tau1 - 2 tau2) + eta1 %1 - eta1 xi1(t - tau1) + D(xi1)(t - tau1) + eta2 %1
      + eta2 xi1(t - tau1)]
      [D(xi1)(t - tau1 - 2 tau2) - D(xi1)(t - tau1) - eta2 %1 + eta1 %1 - eta1 xi1(t - tau1)
      - eta2 xi1(t - tau1)]
      [-2 eta1 xi1(t - tau1)]
      [- eta2 xi1(t) - eta1 xi1(t) - D(xi1)(t) - D(xi1)(t - 2 tau2 - 2 tau1) + eta1 xi1(t - 2 tau2 - 2 tau1)
      + D(xi1)(t - 2 tau2) - eta1 xi1(t - 2 tau1) + D(xi1)(t - 2 tau1) + eta2 xi1(t - 2 tau2 - 2 tau1)
      + eta1 xi1(t - 2 tau2) - eta2 xi1(t - 2 tau2) + eta2 xi1(t - 2 tau1)]
      %1 := xi1(t - tau1 - 2 tau2)
```

Of course, this parametrization is minimal. We compute the second extension module  $\text{ext}^2$  with values in  $\text{Alg}$  of the  $\text{Alg}$ -module  $N$  which is associated with  $R2\_adj$ :

```
> Exti(R2_adj, Alg, 2);
      [ [ -eta2 - Dt + Dt sigma^2 - eta2 sigma^2 + eta1 sigma^2 - eta1 ] , [ 1 ] , SURJ(1)]
```

We see that the  $\text{Alg}$ -module  $M$  which is associated with the system is not projective, hence not free, and the vibrating string with interior mass is not a flat system. We can compute a  $\pi$ -polynomial in the variable  $\sigma_1$  such that the system is  $\pi$ -free:

```
> PiPolynomial(R2, Alg, [sigma1]);
      [sigma1]
```

When we allow the  $\sigma_1$  to be inverted, i.e., if we introduce the time-advance operator  $\sigma_1^{-1}$ , the vibrating string with interior mass becomes a flat system. We compute a flat output of this system by constructing a left-inverse of the parametrization *Ext1*[3], where we allow the variable  $\sigma_1$  in the denominators:

```
> L2 := LocalLeftInverse(Ext1[3], [sigma1], Alg);
```

$$L2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2\sigma_1\eta_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In fact, we have:

```
> evalm(L2 &* Ext1[3]);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, remembering the variables  $\phi_1, \psi_1, \psi_2, u$  of the system, we conclude that the vibrating string with interior mass is  $\sigma_1$ -free with basis  $\psi_2$ , i.e.,  $\psi_2$  is a flat output of the system, if we allow the time-advance operator  $\sigma_1^{-1}$ . Compare with (Mounier et al., 1998).

```
> Q := map(collect, evalm(Ext1[3] &* L2), Dt);
```

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, -\frac{(-\sigma_1^2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1)Dt}{2\sigma_1\eta_1} - \frac{\sigma_1^2\eta_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1\eta_1\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1\eta_1 + \eta_2\sigma_1}{2\sigma_1\eta_1}, 0 \\ 0, 0, -\frac{(\sigma_1^2\sigma_1 - \sigma_1)Dt}{2\sigma_1\eta_1} - \frac{-\sigma_1\eta_1 - \eta_2\sigma_1 - \sigma_1^2\eta_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1\eta_1\sigma_1^2}{2\sigma_1\eta_1}, 0 \\ [0, 0, 1, 0] \\ 0, 0, -\frac{(-1 - \sigma_1^2\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2)Dt}{2\sigma_1\eta_1} \\ -\frac{-\eta_2 - \eta_1 - \sigma_1^2\eta_1 + \eta_2\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2\eta_1\sigma_1^2 + \eta_1\sigma_1^2 - \eta_2\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^2\eta_2\sigma_1^2}{2\sigma_1\eta_1}, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, the third column of the matrix  $Q$  gives the parametrization of the system variables  $\phi_1, \psi_1, \psi_2, u$  in function of the flat output  $\psi_2$ . In particular, we have the following parametrization:

```
> phi1(t)=ApplyMatrix(Q, [0,0,psi2(t),0], Alg)[1,1];
```

$$\phi_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2\psi_2(t - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t - 2\tau_2) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2\psi_2(t)}{\eta_1}$$

```
> psi1(t)=ApplyMatrix(Q, [0,0,psi2(t),0], Alg)[2,1];
```

$$\psi_1(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2\psi_2(t)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2\psi_2(t - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t - 2\tau_2)$$

```
> psi2(t)=ApplyMatrix(Q, [0,0,psi2(t),0], Alg)[3,1];
```

$$\psi_2(t) = \psi_2(t)$$

```
> u(t)=ApplyMatrix(Q, [0,0,psi2(t),0], Alg)[4,1];
```

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t + \tau_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t - \tau_1 - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t + \tau_1 - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \frac{D(\psi_2)(t - \tau_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2 \psi_2(t + \tau_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t + \tau_1) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t - \tau_1) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2 \psi_2(t - \tau_1)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t - \tau_1 - 2\tau_2) - \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(t + \tau_1 - 2\tau_2) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2 \psi_2(t + \tau_1 - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_2 \psi_2(t - \tau_1 - 2\tau_2)}{\eta_1}
\end{aligned}$$