

The purpose of this worksheet is to study a differential time-delay linear system usually encountered in the process control literature and studied in N. Petit, Y. Creff, P. Rouchon, “ $\delta$ -freeness of a class of linear systems”, Proceedings of the 4th European Control Conference, Brussels (Belgium), 01-04/07/97.

The system is defined by means of the following transfer matrix:

```
> T := evalm([[K11*exp(-delta11*s)/(1+tau11*s), K12*exp(-delta12*s)/(1+tau12*s)],
> [K21*exp(-delta21*s)/(1+tau21*s), K22*exp(-delta22*s)/(1+tau22*s)]]);
```

$$T := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{K11 e^{(-\delta11 s)}}{1 + \tau11 s} & \frac{K12 e^{(-\delta12 s)}}{1 + \tau12 s} \\ \frac{K21 e^{(-\delta21 s)}}{1 + \tau21 s} & \frac{K22 e^{(-\delta22 s)}}{1 + \tau22 s} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us firstly define the following algebra *Alg* of differential time-delay operators.

```
> Alg := DefineOreAlgebra(diff=[D,t], dual_shift=[Delta11,s1],
> dual_shift=[Delta12,s2], dual_shift=[Delta21,s3],
> dual_shift=[Delta22,s4], polynom=[t,s1,s2,s3,s4],
> shift_action=[Delta11,t,delta11], shift_action=[Delta12,t,delta12],
> shift_action=[Delta21,t,delta21], shift_action=[Delta22,t,delta22],
> comm=[K11,K12,K21,K22,tau11,tau12,tau21,tau22]):
```

Introducing the new variables  $x[i, j]$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , satisfying the equations

$$(1 + \tau_{ij} D) x[i, j](t) = K_{ij} u_i(t - \delta_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$

where  $D$  denotes the time-derivative and  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the inputs of the system, we obtain a description of the transfer matrix  $T$  by means of the following matrix of differential time-delay operators ( $\Delta_{ij}$  denotes the time-delay operator of length  $\delta_{ij}$ ):

```
> R := evalm([[1+tau11*D,0,0,0,-Delta11,0,0,0], [0,1+tau12*D,0,0,0,-Delta12,0,0],
> [0,0,1+tau21*D,0,-Delta21,0,0,0], [0,0,0,1+tau22*D,0,-Delta22,0,0],
> [K11,K12,0,0,0,0,-1,0], [0,0,K21,K22,0,0,0,-1]]);
```

$$R := \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \tau11 D & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta11 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \tau12 D & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta12 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \tau21 D & 0 & -\Delta21 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + \tau22 D & 0 & -\Delta22 & 0 & 0 \\ K11 & K12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K21 & K22 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, we now consider the following differential time-delay system, where we denote by  $z_1 = x[1, 1]$ ,  $z_2 = x[1, 2]$ ,  $z_3 = x[2, 1]$ ,  $z_4 = x[2, 2]$ , and  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , denote the outputs of the system:

```
> ApplyMatrix(R, [seq(z[i](t), i=1..4), seq(u[i](t), i=1..2)],
> seq(y[i](t), i=1..2)], Alg)=evalm([seq([0], i=1..6)]);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1(t) + \tau11 D(z_1)(t) - u_1(t - \delta11) \\ z_2(t) + \tau12 D(z_2)(t) - u_2(t - \delta12) \\ z_3(t) + \tau21 D(z_3)(t) - u_1(t - \delta21) \\ z_4(t) + \tau22 D(z_4)(t) - u_2(t - \delta22) \\ K11 z_1(t) + K12 z_2(t) - y_1(t) \\ K21 z_3(t) + K22 z_4(t) - y_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us study the algebraic properties of the previous system. For the sake of simplicity, as the inputs  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are trivial elements of the *Alg*-module associated with the following matrix

```
> R2 := evalm([[1+tau11*D,0,0,0,-Delta11,0], [0,1+tau12*D,0,0,0,-Delta12],
> [0,0,1+tau21*D,0,-Delta21,0], [0,0,0,1+tau22*D,0,-Delta22]]);
```

$$R2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \tau11 D & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta11 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \tau12 D & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta12 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \tau21 D & 0 & -\Delta21 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + \tau22 D & 0 & -\Delta22 \end{bmatrix}$$

we may just consider the *Alg*-module associated with  $R2$ . In other words, the *Alg*-module associated with  $R2$  is the same as the *Alg*-module associated with  $R$ . Therefore, we only keep the equations describing the dynamics of the first system, namely:

```
> ApplyMatrix(R2, [seq(z[i](t), i=1..4), seq(u[i](t), i=1..2)], Alg)=
> evalm([seq(0, i=1..4)]);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1(t) + \tau11 D(z_1)(t) - u_1(t - \delta11) \\ z_2(t) + \tau12 D(z_2)(t) - u_2(t - \delta12) \\ z_3(t) + \tau21 D(z_3)(t) - u_1(t - \delta21) \\ z_4(t) + \tau22 D(z_4)(t) - u_2(t - \delta22) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us define the adjoint  $R\_adj$  of  $R$ .

```
> R_adj := Involution(R2, Alg):
```

Then, we know that the module properties of the *Alg*-module associated with  $R2$  correspond to the vanishing of some extension modules of the *Alg*-module associated with  $R\_adj$  with values in *Alg*. Let us compute the first extension module of this *Alg*-module.

```
> Ext1 := Exti(R_adj, Alg, 1);
```

$$Ext1 := \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \tau11 D & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta11 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \tau12 D & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta12 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \tau21 D & 0 & -\Delta21 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + \tau22 D & 0 & -\Delta22 \end{bmatrix}, \right.$$

$$\left. \begin{bmatrix} \tau21 \Delta11 D + \Delta11 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau22 \Delta12 D + \Delta12 \\ \tau11 D \Delta21 + \Delta21 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau12 D \Delta22 + \Delta22 \\ \tau21 D + \tau21 D^2 \tau11 + 1 + \tau11 D & 0 \\ 0 & \tau22 D + \tau22 D^2 \tau12 + 1 + \tau12 D \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

As the first matrix  $Ext1[1]$  is the identity matrix, we obtain that the *Alg*-module associated with  $R2$  is torsion-free. Therefore, we find that the system defined by  $R2$  is controllable and parametrizable.

A parametrization of the system defined by  $R2$  is then given by  $Ext1[3]$ . Equivalently, such a parametrization can be directly computed using the command *Parametrization*:

```
> evalm([seq(z[i](t), i=1..4), seq(u[i](t), i=1..2)])=
> Parametrization(R2, Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1(t) \\ z_2(t) \\ z_3(t) \\ z_4(t) \\ u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau21 D(\xi_1)(t - \delta11) + \xi_1(t - \delta11) \\ \tau22 D(\xi_2)(t - \delta12) + \xi_2(t - \delta12) \\ \tau11 D(\xi_1)(t - \delta21) + \xi_1(t - \delta21) \\ \tau12 D(\xi_2)(t - \delta22) + \xi_2(t - \delta22) \\ \tau21 D(\xi_1)(t) + \tau21 \tau11 (D^{(2)})(\xi_1)(t) + \xi_1(t) + \tau11 D(\xi_1)(t) \\ \tau22 D(\xi_2)(t) + \tau22 \tau12 (D^{(2)})(\xi_2)(t) + \xi_2(t) + \tau12 D(\xi_2)(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

If the parametrization  $Ext1[3]$  admits a left-inverse, then the *Alg*-module associated with  $R2$  is free.

> LeftInverse(Ext1[3], Alg);

□

As no left-inverse of  $Ext1[3]$  exists, we cannot conclude about the freeness of the  $Alg$ -module associated with  $R2$ . Let us check whether or not such a module is reflexive by computing the second extension module.

> Ext2 := Exti(R\_adj, Alg, 2);

$$Ext2 := \begin{bmatrix} \Delta21 \Delta11 & 0 \\ \tau11 D \Delta21 + \Delta21 & 0 \\ \tau21 \Delta11 D + \Delta11 & 0 \\ \tau21 D + \tau21 D^2 \tau11 + 1 + \tau11 D & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta22 \Delta12 \\ 0 & \tau12 D \Delta22 + \Delta22 \\ 0 & \tau22 \Delta12 D + \Delta12 \\ 0 & \tau22 D + \tau22 D^2 \tau12 + 1 + \tau12 D \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

SURJ(2)

As the first matrix  $Ext2[1]$  is not an identity matrix, we obtain that the second extension module is not trivial, and thus, the  $Alg$ -module associated with  $R2$  is torsion-free but not reflexive. In particular, we deduce that the  $Alg$ -module is not free, and thus, the system defined by  $R2$  is not flat. However, as the torsion-free degree of the system  $R2$  is 1, we know that it is  $\pi$ -free. Let us compute such a polynomial  $\pi$ .

> pi := PiPolynomial(R2, Alg, [Delta11,Delta12,Delta21,Delta22]);

$$\pi := [\Delta21 \Delta11 \Delta22 \Delta12]$$

Hence, if we localize the algebra  $Alg$  with respect to the polynomial  $\pi$  in order to obtain the algebra  $Alg2$ , then the new module associated with  $R2$  over  $Alg2$  is free. Equivalently, if we can invert the time-delay operator  $\pi$ , i.e., use the time-advance operator  $\pi^{-1}$ , we then obtain a basis of the  $Alg2$ -module associated with  $R2$  as follows:

> S := LocalLeftInverse(Ext1[3], pi, Alg);

$$S := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\tau11}{\Delta11(\tau11 - \tau21)} & 0 & -\frac{\tau21}{\Delta21(\tau11 - \tau21)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\tau12}{\Delta12(-\tau22 + \tau12)} & 0 & -\frac{\tau22}{\Delta22(-\tau22 + \tau12)} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We easily check that  $S$  is a left-inverse of the parametrization  $Ext1[3]$  over the algebra  $Alg2$ :

> simplify(evalm(S &\* Ext1[3]));

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, a basis  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  of this new module is defined by:

> evalm([seq(xi[i](t), i=1..2)])=ApplyMatrix(S, [seq(z[i](t), i=1..4),  
> seq(u[i](t), i=1..2)], Alg);

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(t) \\ \xi_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\tau_{11} z_1(t + \delta_{11}) - \tau_{21} z_3(t + \delta_{21})}{\tau_{11} - \tau_{21}} \\ \frac{\tau_{12} z_2(t + \delta_{12}) - \tau_{22} z_4(t + \delta_{22})}{-\tau_{22} + \tau_{12}} \end{bmatrix}$$

In particular, we check that such a basis exists if  $\tau_{11} \neq \tau_{21}$  and  $\tau_{12} \neq \tau_{22}$ .

Now, we come back to the outputs  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of the system. If we define the following matrix

```
> K := evalm([[K11, K12, 0, 0], [0, 0, K21, K22]]);
```

$$K := \begin{bmatrix} K11 & K12 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K21 & K22 \end{bmatrix}$$

then we obtain that the outputs  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are defined in terms of the variables  $z_k$  as follows:

```
> evalm([seq([y[i](t)], i=1..2)])=ApplyMatrix(K, [seq(z[i](t), i=1..4)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K11 z_1(t) + K12 z_2(t) \\ K21 z_3(t) + K22 z_4(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Substituting  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, u_1, u_2)^T = Ext1[3](\xi_1, \xi_2)^T$  into the previous relations, we finally obtain the expressions for  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $u_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , in terms of the elements of the basis  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ :

```
> L := linalg[submatrix](Ext1[3], 1..4, 1..2): evalm([seq([y[i](t)], i=1..2)])=
> ApplyMatrix(Mult(K, L, Alg), [xi[1](t), xi[2](t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K11 \xi_1(t - \delta_{11}) + K11 \tau_{21} D(\xi_1)(t - \delta_{11}) + K12 \xi_2(t - \delta_{12}) + K12 \tau_{22} D(\xi_2)(t - \delta_{12}) \\ K21 \xi_1(t - \delta_{21}) + K21 \tau_{11} D(\xi_1)(t - \delta_{21}) + K22 \xi_2(t - \delta_{22}) + K22 \tau_{12} D(\xi_2)(t - \delta_{22}) \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> evalm([seq([u[i](t)], i=1..2)])=ApplyMatrix(linalg[submatrix](Ext1[3],
> 5..6, 1..2), [xi[1](t), xi[2](t)], Alg);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{21} D(\xi_1)(t) + \tau_{21} \tau_{11} (D^{(2)})(\xi_1)(t) + \xi_1(t) + \tau_{11} D(\xi_1)(t) \\ \tau_{22} D(\xi_2)(t) + \tau_{22} \tau_{12} (D^{(2)})(\xi_2)(t) + \xi_2(t) + \tau_{12} D(\xi_2)(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

We find again the parametrization of the system given in N. Petit, Y. Creff, P. Rouchon, “ $\delta$ -freeness of a class of linear systems”, Proceedings of the 4th European Control Conference, Brussels (Belgium), 01-04/07/97.

Now, we can wonder if we can express the elements of the basis  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  only in terms of the inputs  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and the outputs  $y_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . From the previous two systems, we have

$$(y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2)^T = Q (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T,$$

where the matrix  $Q$  is defined by:

```
> Q := linalg[stackmatrix](Mult(K, L, Alg), linalg[submatrix](Ext1[3], 5..6, 1..2));
```

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} K11 \Delta_{11} (1 + \tau_{21} D) & K12 \Delta_{12} (1 + \tau_{22} D) \\ K21 \Delta_{21} (1 + \tau_{11} D) & K22 \Delta_{22} (1 + \tau_{12} D) \\ \tau_{21} D + \tau_{21} D^2 \tau_{11} + 1 + \tau_{11} D & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{22} D + \tau_{22} D^2 \tau_{12} + 1 + \tau_{12} D \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us check whether or not the matrix  $Q$  is left-invertible over  $Alg$ .

```
> T := LocalLeftInverse(Q, pi, Alg);
```

$$\begin{aligned}
T := & \left[ \frac{(1 + \tau_{12} D) \tau_{11}^2}{\Delta_{11} (-\tau_{21} \tau_{11} + \tau_{21} \tau_{12} - \tau_{12} \tau_{11} + \tau_{11}^2) K_{11}}, \right. \\
& - \frac{(1 + \tau_{22} D) \tau_{21}^2}{\Delta_{21} (-\tau_{22} \tau_{11} + \tau_{21} \tau_{11} + \tau_{21} \tau_{22} - \tau_{21}^2) K_{21}}, - ( \\
& \tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} + \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} - \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21}) / (\tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} \\
& - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} - \tau_{22} \tau_{11}^2 + \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} - \tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} + \tau_{12} \tau_{21}^2 + \tau_{21} \tau_{11}^2 \\
& - \tau_{11} \tau_{21}^2), (\tau_{22} K_{21} \Delta_{21} \tau_{11}^2 K_{12} \Delta_{12} - \tau_{21} K_{21} \Delta_{21} \tau_{11}^2 K_{12} \Delta_{12} \\
& + \Delta_{11} K_{11} \tau_{21}^2 \tau_{11} K_{22} \Delta_{22} - \Delta_{11} \tau_{12} K_{11} \tau_{21}^2 K_{22} \Delta_{22}) / (\Delta_{21} \Delta_{11} K_{21} \\
& K_{11} (\tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} - \tau_{22} \tau_{11}^2 + \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} - \tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} \\
& + \tau_{12} \tau_{21}^2 + \tau_{21} \tau_{11}^2 - \tau_{11} \tau_{21}^2)) \left. \right] \\
& \left[ - \frac{(1 + \tau_{11} D) \tau_{12}^2}{\Delta_{12} (\tau_{22} \tau_{12} - \tau_{22} \tau_{11} - \tau_{12}^2 + \tau_{12} \tau_{11}) K_{12}}, \right. \\
& \frac{(1 + \tau_{21} D) \tau_{22}^2}{\Delta_{22} (\tau_{21} \tau_{12} - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} - \tau_{21} \tau_{22} + \tau_{22}^2) K_{22}}, (\Delta_{12} \tau_{22}^2 K_{12} \tau_{12} K_{21} \Delta_{21} \\
& - \Delta_{12} \tau_{22}^2 \tau_{11} K_{12} K_{21} \Delta_{21} - K_{22} \tau_{12}^2 K_{11} \Delta_{22} \Delta_{11} \tau_{22} \\
& + K_{22} \tau_{12}^2 K_{11} \Delta_{22} \Delta_{11} \tau_{21}) / (\Delta_{22} \Delta_{12} K_{22} K_{12} (\tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} \\
& + \tau_{22} \tau_{12}^2 - \tau_{21} \tau_{12}^2 - \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} + \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} - \tau_{22}^2 \tau_{12} + \tau_{11} \tau_{22}^2)), ( \\
& \tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} + \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} - \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21}) / (\tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} \\
& - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} + \tau_{22} \tau_{12}^2 - \tau_{21} \tau_{12}^2 - \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} + \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} - \tau_{22}^2 \tau_{12} \\
& + \tau_{11} \tau_{22}^2) \left. \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that such a left-inverse of  $Q$  exists as we have:

> `simplify(evalm(T &* Q));`

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, we can now express the elements of the basis  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  in terms of  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $u_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  as follows:

> `X := ApplyMatrix(T, [seq([y[i](t)], i=1..2), seq([u[i](t)], i=1..2)], Alg);`  
> `xi[1](t)=X[1,1];`

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1(t) = & -(-\tau_{11}^2 \tau_{21} y_1(t + \delta_{11}) K_{21} - \tau_{11}^2 \tau_{21} \tau_{12} D(y_1)(t + \delta_{11}) K_{21} \\
& + \tau_{21} \tau_{11}^2 K_{12} u_2(t - \delta_{12} + \delta_{11}) K_{21} + \tau_{11}^2 y_1(t + \delta_{11}) K_{21} \tau_{22} \\
& + \tau_{11}^2 \tau_{12} D(y_1)(t + \delta_{11}) K_{21} \tau_{22} - \tau_{22} \tau_{11}^2 K_{12} u_2(t - \delta_{12} + \delta_{11}) K_{21} \\
& + \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21}^2 D(y_2)(t + \delta_{21}) K_{11} - \tau_{11} \tau_{21}^2 K_{22} u_2(t - \delta_{22} + \delta_{21}) K_{11} \\
& + \tau_{11} \tau_{21}^2 y_2(t + \delta_{21}) K_{11} - \tau_{11} \tau_{21} u_1(t) K_{11} K_{21} \tau_{22} \\
& + \tau_{11} \tau_{21} u_1(t) K_{11} K_{21} \tau_{12} - \tau_{11} u_1(t) K_{11} K_{21} \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \\
& + \tau_{12} \tau_{21}^2 K_{22} u_2(t - \delta_{22} + \delta_{21}) K_{11} - \tau_{21}^2 \tau_{22} D(y_2)(t + \delta_{21}) K_{11} \tau_{12} \\
& - \tau_{21}^2 y_2(t + \delta_{21}) K_{11} \tau_{12} + u_1(t) K_{11} K_{21} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} \tau_{12}) / (K_{21} K_{11} ( \\
& \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} - \tau_{22} \tau_{11}^2 + \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} - \tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} + \tau_{12} \tau_{21}^2 \\
& + \tau_{21} \tau_{11}^2 - \tau_{11} \tau_{21}^2))
\end{aligned}$$

> xi [2] (t)=X[2,1];

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_2(t) = & (-\tau_{12}^2 \tau_{21} y_1(t + \delta_{12}) K_{22} + \tau_{12}^2 K_{11} \tau_{21} u_1(t - \delta_{11} + \delta_{12}) K_{22} \\
& - \tau_{12}^2 \tau_{21} \tau_{11} D(y_1)(t + \delta_{12}) K_{22} + \tau_{12}^2 y_1(t + \delta_{12}) K_{22} \tau_{22} \\
& - \tau_{12}^2 \tau_{22} K_{11} u_1(t - \delta_{11} + \delta_{12}) K_{22} + \tau_{12}^2 \tau_{11} D(y_1)(t + \delta_{12}) K_{22} \tau_{22} \\
& + \tau_{12} \tau_{21} \tau_{11} u_2(t) K_{12} K_{22} - \tau_{12} \tau_{22}^2 \tau_{21} D(y_2)(t + \delta_{22}) K_{12} \\
& + \tau_{12} u_2(t) K_{12} K_{22} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} + K_{21} \tau_{22}^2 \tau_{12} u_1(t - \delta_{21} + \delta_{22}) K_{12} \\
& - \tau_{12} \tau_{22}^2 y_2(t + \delta_{22}) K_{12} - \tau_{12} \tau_{11} u_2(t) K_{12} K_{22} \tau_{22} \\
& - \tau_{11} u_2(t) K_{12} K_{22} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} + \tau_{21} \tau_{11} \tau_{22}^2 D(y_2)(t + \delta_{22}) K_{12} \\
& - K_{21} \tau_{11} \tau_{22}^2 u_1(t - \delta_{21} + \delta_{22}) K_{12} + \tau_{11} \tau_{22}^2 y_2(t + \delta_{22}) K_{12}) / (K_{22} K_{12} ( \\
& \tau_{21} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{11} + \tau_{22} \tau_{12}^2 - \tau_{21} \tau_{12}^2 - \tau_{11} \tau_{22} \tau_{21} + \tau_{22} \tau_{12} \tau_{21} \\
& - \tau_{22}^2 \tau_{12} + \tau_{11} \tau_{22}^2))
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note that the previous two expressions are well defined only if the two following denominators do not vanish:

> a := factor(denom(X[1,1]));

$$a := K_{21} K_{11} (-\tau_{22} + \tau_{21}) (\tau_{11} - \tau_{21}) (-\tau_{12} + \tau_{11})$$

> b := factor(denom(X[2,1]));

$$b := K_{22} K_{12} (-\tau_{22} + \tau_{21}) (-\tau_{22} + \tau_{12}) (-\tau_{12} + \tau_{11})$$

i.e., if the  $\tau_{ij}$ 's are pairwise different.